

2010-11 Budget Conference Committee on AB 190

Upon Call of the Chair – Room 4203

Section I K-12 EDUCATION AND HIGHER EDUCATION

Senator Denise Moreno Ducheny, Chair Assemblymember Bob Blumenfield, Vice Chair Members: Senator Bob Dutton, Senator Bob Huff, Senator Mark Leno, Senator Alan Lowenthal, Assemblymember Connie Conway, Assemblymember Felipe Fuentes, Assemblymember Jim Nielsen, and Assemblymember Nancy Skinner

Table of Contents

<u>Item #</u>	Title	<u>Page</u>
6110	K-12 Education	1
6110	Child Care Issues	26
Higher Educatior	n Issues:	
6440	University of California	32
6610	California State University	38
6870	California Community Colleges	42
7980	California Student Aid Commission	47

California Department of Education	on (CDE)	Section I
Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
6110 Department of Education		
Current Year Expenditures – Cash Advance for Education Agencies	Local Assembly \$-15,689	
June apportionment payments to local education age	encies \$-5,689	
due to the deferral of apportionments.	Difference \$10,000	
Assembly did not approve the Governor's proposal		
Senate approved \$10 million, without the Governor trailer bill language.	r's	
	Description6110Department of EducationCurrent Year Expenditures – Cash Advance for Education AgenciesGovernor proposed \$15.7 million to provide additi June apportionment payments to local education ag that will be unable to make essential payments in Ju due to the deferral of apportionments.Assembly did not approve the Governor's proposal Senate approved \$10 million, without the Governor	6110Department of EducationCurrent Year Expenditures – Cash Advance for Local Education AgenciesAssembly \$-15,689Governor proposed \$15.7 million to provide additional June apportionment payments to local education agencies that will be unable to make essential payments in June due to the deferral of apportionments.Senate \$-5,689Assembly did not approve the Governor's proposal.Difference \$10,000

Item 6110 Pa	ige	1	
--------------	-----	---	--

California Department of Education (CD	Section I	
Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
Current Year Savings – K-3 Class Size Reduction	Assembly	
(CSR)	\$340,000	
Governor proposes \$340,000 in savings for the K-3 CSR	Senate	
program in 2009-10. The Governor estimates savings from penalties resulting from larger class sizes in school	\$0	
districts.	Difference	
	\$340,000	
Assembly did not approve the Governor's savings proposal.		
	DescriptionDescriptionCurrent Year Savings – K-3 Class Size Reduction (CSR)Governor proposes \$340,000 in savings for the K-3 CSR program in 2009-10. The Governor estimates savings from penalties resulting from larger class sizes in school 	Current Year Savings – K-3 Class Size Reduction (CSR)Assembly \$340,000Governor proposes \$340,000 in savings for the K-3 CSR program in 2009-10. The Governor estimates savings from penalties resulting from larger class sizes in school districts.Senate \$0Governor broposes \$340,000 in savings for the K-3 CSR program in 2009-10. The Governor estimates savings from larger class sizes in school districts.Senate \$0Governor broposes \$340,000 in savings for the K-3 CSR program in 2009-10. The Governor estimates savings from larger class sizes in school districts.Senate \$0Assembly did not approve the Governor's savingsDifference \$340,000

Senate approved the Governor's savings proposal.

Item 6110 Page 2	Item 6110 I	' age	2
------------------	-------------	--------------	---

6110	California Department of Education (CDE)		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	Current Year Expenditures – Categorical Funds for	Assembly	
	New Schools	\$-11,000	
	Governor proposed \$11 million in one time funds to	Senate	
	provide categorical funding for new schools in 2008-09 and 2009-10. The Governor proposed trailer bill	\$0	
	language to allow new schools to receive categorical	Difference	
	funds as a part of the categorical flexibility program through 2012-13.	\$11,000	
	Assembly did not approve the Governor's expenditure proposal or trailer bill language.		
	Senate approved \$11 million, but approved LAO alternative language instead of the Governor's trailer bill		

6110	California Department of Education (CDI	Section I	
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	Revenue Limits for School Districts and County Offices of Education	Assembly \$1,688,810	
	Offices of Education	ψ1,000,010	
	Governor proposed a permanent reduction of \$1.5 billion	Senate	
	in base revenue limits for school districts and county offices of education in 2010-11.	\$620,637	
		Difference	
	Assembly denied the Governor's proposal and restored \$1.7 billion. This amount includes \$1.5 billion to restore new base reductions and \$228 million to partially restore prior year base reductions.	\$1,068,173	
	Senate restored \$621 million compared to the Governor's proposal, and established deficit factors for the \$863 million in remaining revenue limit base reductions.		

Item 6110 Pag	ge	4
---------------	----	---

6110	California Department of Education (CDE)		Section I	
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action	
000	Cost-of-Living Adjustment (COLA)	Assembly		
		\$210,800		
	Governor proposed to reduce school district and county			
	office of education revenue limit and categorical funding	Senate		
	(including child care) by \$210.8 million in order to apply	\$210,800		
	a negative COLA of -0.39 percent in 2010-11.			
		Difference		
	Assembly denied the Governor's funding reduction and restored \$210.8 million in 2010-11.	\$0		
	Senate denied the Governor's funding reduction and			
	restored \$210.8 million in 2010-11. The Senate approved language to apply the negative COLA to revenue limit			
	deficit factors in 2010-11.			

Item 6110 P	age	5
-------------	-----	---

6110	California Department of Education (CDE)		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	County Court School Funding.	Assembly	
		\$19,798	
	Governor proposed no additional funding for county	~	
	court schools in 2010-11.	Senate	
		\$4,900	
	Assembly augmented funding for court schools by \$19.8		
	million per average daily attendance (ADA).	Difference	
		\$14,898	
	Senate augmented funding for courts schools by \$4.9 million per ADA.		
	In addition, the Senate identified two issues for discussion		
	in Conference Committee:		
	(1) access to Economic Impact Aid funding for court		
	schools; and		
	(2) accountability provisions to require CDE to conduct		
	onsite monitoring and oversight of court schools to assure		
	240 minutes of daily instruction.		

California Department of Education (CDE)		Section I
Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
Emergency Repair Program	Assembly	
	\$0	
Governor proposed \$51 million in one-time Proposition		
98 savings for the Emergency Repair Program (ERP) in	Senate	
2010-11. This action is intended to provide funding to make up for a shortfall in appropriated funds for ERP in	\$-26,000	
2008-09.	Difference	
	\$26,000	
Assembly approved \$51 million for ERP with ongoing		
funds, rather than one-time funds.		
Senate approved \$25 million in one-time funds for ERP		
in 2010-11.		
	Description Description Emergency Repair Program Governor proposed \$51 million in one-time Proposition 98 savings for the Emergency Repair Program (ERP) in 2010-11. This action is intended to provide funding to make up for a shortfall in appropriated funds for ERP in 2008-09. Assembly approved \$51 million for ERP with ongoing funds, rather than one-time funds. Senate approved \$25 million in one-time funds for ERP	DescriptionDifference (dollars in thousands)Emergency Repair ProgramAssembly \$0Governor proposed \$51 million in one-time Proposition 98 savings for the Emergency Repair Program (ERP) in

Item 6110 Pa	age	7
--------------	-----	---

6110	California Department of Education (CDE)		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	K-3 Class Size Reduction Funding & Flexibility	Assembly \$550,000	
	Governor proposes to capture \$550 million in savings		
	from the K-3 Class Size Reduction (CSR) program in	Senate	
	2010-11. This level of savings assumes an additional \$210 million in savings in 2010-11, on top of the \$340	\$186,000	
	million in savings proposed by the Governor in 2009-10.	Difference	
	The Governor estimates savings from penalties resulting from larger class sizes in school districts.	\$364,000	
	Assembly denied the Governor's K-3 CSR savings proposal and fully restores \$550 million in funding for K- 3 CSR program in 2010-11. The Assembly utilizes \$325 million in one-time funds for restoration; remaining funds are ongoing.		
	Senate approved LAO alternative, which adds K-3 CSR to the categorical cut/flexibility program through 2012-13, and restored \$186 million compared to the Governor. This action results in total K-3 CSR savings of \$364 million in 2010-11.		

6110	California Department of Education (CDE)		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	Home-to-School Transportation Flexibility	Assembly \$0	
	Governor proposed \$494.3 million for the for the Home-	φ σ	
	to-School (HTS) Transportation program in 2010-11.	Senate	
	HTS Transportation is currently included in the categorical cut program, whereby programs receive	\$0	
	across-the-board reductions of approximately 20 percent	Difference	
	in 2010-11.	\$0	
	Assembly proposed no changes in 2010-11.		
	Senate approved LAO recommendation to place Home- to-School Transportation program in the categorical		

flexibility program in 2010-11 through 2012-13.

Item 6110 Page	9
----------------	---

6110	California Department of Education (CDE)		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	Career Technical Education Flexibility	Assembly \$0	
	Governor proposed \$ 426.9 million for five career	ψΟ	
	technical education (CTE) programs in 2010-11. These	Senate	
	five CTE programs include two programs in the categorical cut/flexibility program – Regional	\$0	
	Occupational Centers/Programs and Specialized	Difference	
	Secondary Programs – and three stand-alone programs subject to categorical cuts only – Apprenticeship Programs, Partnership Academies, and Agricultural Education.	\$0	
	Assembly proposed no changes for these five CTE programs in 2010-11.		
	Senate approved LAO recommendation to consolidate		
	these five CTE programs into a single block grant and		
	loosen restrictions on funds in order to improve student outcomes.		

6110	California Department of Education (CDI	Ξ)	Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	English Learner Assistance Program Flexibility	Assembly \$0	
	Governor proposed \$50.4 million for the English Learner Assistance Program (ELAP) program in 2010-11. ELAP is currently included in the categorical cut program,	Senate \$0	
	whereby programs receive across-the-board reductions of approximately 20 percent in 2010-11.	Difference \$0	
	Assembly made no changes to the ELAP program in 2010-11.		
	Senate approved LAO recommendation to move the ELAP program into the Economic Impact Aid (EIA) program beginning in 2010-11.		

Item 6110 Page	e 1 1	1
----------------	--------------	---

6110	California Department of Education (CDE)		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	Cal-SAFE Child Care Flexibility	Assembly	
		\$0	
	Governor proposed \$46.3 million for the Cal-SAFE		
	program in 2010-11. Cal-SAFE is currently included in	Senate	
	the categorical cut and flexibility program, whereby programs receive across-the-board reductions of	\$0	
	approximately 20 percent in 2010-11 and funds can be	Difference	
	used for any education purpose through 2012-13.	\$0	
	Assembly made no changes to the Cal-SAFE program in 2010-11.		
	Senate moved approximately \$24.8 million from the Cal- SAFE Child Care program into the Child Development		
	program in 2010-11. This action establishes Cal-SAFE as a set- aside program within the Child Development		
	Program, which is not included in the categorical cut/flexibility program.		

5110	California Department of Education (CD	E)	Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE)	Assembly	
	Supplemental Instruction Flexibility	\$0	
	Governor proposed \$58 million for the CAHSEE	Senate	
	Supplemental Instruction program in 2010-11. CAHSEE Supplemental Instruction is currently included in the	\$0	
	categorical cut and flexibility program, whereby	Difference	
	programs receive across-the-board reductions of	\$0	
	approximately 20 percent in 2010-11 and funds can be used for any education purpose through 2012-13.		
	Assembly made no changes to the CAHSEE		
	Supplemental Instruction program in 2010-11.		
	Senate moved the CAHSEE Supplemental Instruction		
	program out of the categorical flexibility program in		
	2010-11. The program would remain in the categorical cut program.		

6110	California Department of Education (CDE)		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	Fiscal Crisis and Management Team (FCMAT)	Assembly	
	Funding	\$0	
	Governor proposed \$9.1 million for FCMAT funding in	Senate	
	2010-11. FCMAT is currently included in the categorical cut program, whereby programs receive across-the-board	\$0	
	reductions of approximately 20 percent in 2010-11	Difference	
	Assembly proposed no changes to FCMAT funding in 2010-11.	\$0	
	Senate moved FCMAT out of the categorical cut program and redirected \$2.25 million in categorical funds to restore funding to 2007-08 levels.		

Item 6110 Page	14	4
----------------	----	---

6110	California Department of Education (CDE)		Section I	
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action	
000	CDE State Operations – Categorical Flexibility Savings (General Fund)	Assembly \$-5,200		
	Governor proposed no across-the-board reductions to	Senate		
	reflect workload savings resulting from the categorical flexibility program enacted as a part of the 2009 budget	\$-5,000		
	packages.	Difference \$200		
	Assembly approved \$5.2 million in savings associated with elimination of 70 authorized positions, including 20 positions previously de-funded.	¢200		
	Senate approved \$5.0 million in savings associated with elimination of 70 authorized positions, including 20 positions previously de-funded.			

Item 6110 Pag	je î	15
---------------	------	----

6110	California Department of Education (CDI	E)	Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	K-12 Mandate Suspension	Assembly	
		\$34	
	Governor proposes to fund three K-12 mandates and to		
	suspend all remaining mandates in 2010-11. The three	Senate	
	funded mandates include CAHSEE Administration (\$6.8	\$31,400	
	million) and Intra/Inter-District Transfers (\$7.7 million).		
		Difference	
	Assembly rejected the Governor's proposal and	\$	
	continued deferral of all K-12 education mandates. The		
	Assembly provided \$1,000 per mandate to signal deferral in 2010-11.		
	Senate took modified LAO approach and provided \$33.7		
	million to fund 13 mandates; eliminated five mandates for		
	a savings of \$2.3 million. The Senate suspended all		
	remaining mandates through 2012-13 consistent with the		
	timeframe for categorical flexibility program. Senate also		
	adopted mandate reconsideration language – developed		
	by the Commission on State Mandates – for K-12		
	mandates.		

6110	California Department of Education (CD	E)	Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	High School Science Graduation Mandate Costs	Assembly \$0	
	Governor has indicated he does not recognize the		
	Science Graduation mandate and is pursuing a legal	Senate	
	challenge of this mandate.	\$0	
	Assembly took no action on the Science Graduation	Difference	
	mandate.	\$0	
	Senate endorsed the Administration's legal challenge,		
	suspended the mandate pending reconsideration, and		
	adopted the LAO recommendation to modify the mandate		
	in 2010-11 via budget trailer bill. In modifying the mandate, the LAO proposes to retain the underlying		
	statute, but eliminate the state mandated costs.		
	statute, but eminiate the state manualed costs.		

6110	California Department of Education (CDE)		Section I	
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action	
000	Behavior Intervention Program Mandate Costs –	Assembly		
	Language	\$-65,000		
	Governor proposed funding for implementation of a	Senate		
	settlement agreement with education groups beginning in 2010-11. Settlement funding includes \$65 million in	\$-65,000		
	ongoing special education funding and \$510 million for prior year costs.	Difference \$0		
	Assembly denied \$65 million in ongoing funding for BIP costs.	ψŬ		
	Senate denied \$65 million in ongoing funding for BIP mandate and adopted LAO recommendation to modify the mandate in 2010-11 via budget trailer bill. In modifying the mandate, the LAO proposes to retain the underlying statute, but eliminate the state mandated costs.			

6110	California Department of Education (CD	DE)	Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	Prior Year Mandate Claims	Assembly	
		\$1,366,000	
	Governor proposed no funding for prior year mandate		
	claims in 2010-11.	Senate	
		\$0	
	Assembly approved \$1.4 billion in ongoing funding for	Ψ0	
	prior year mandate claims in 2010-11. This amount is	Difference	
	intended to payoff all existing prior-year Proposition 98	\$0	
	mandate claims, excluding the High School Science	φ	
	Graduation mandate and the Behavior Intervention Plan		
	(BIP) mandate.		

2010-11. (See next item.)

6110	California Department of Education (CDE)		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	Special Disability Adjustment	Assembly \$0	
	Governor proposed approximately \$70 million in special	φ0	
	education funds in 2010-11 for purposes of the Special	Senate	
	Disability Adjustment (SDA). The 2009-10 budget provided a similar amount for the SDA. However, there	\$0	
	is currently no statutory authority for the SDA.	Difference \$0	
	Assembly continued authority for the SDA in 2009-10 and 2010-11.	ψŬ	
	Senate continued the SDA in 2009-10 and 2010-11, but proposed to score funds to Special Education Local		
	Planning Areas (SELPAs) as an offset to prior year mandate costs for the Behavior Intervention Plan		
	mandate. The Senate added a new SDA study with possible federal special education funds.		

6110	California Department of Education (CDE)		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	Fourth Grade Writing Assessment – Language	Assembly	
	Governor proposed to restore Fourth Grade Writing	\$0	
	Assessment within existing assessment contract savings.	Senate	
	The Governor proposed budget bill language to ensure that these assessments costs are absorbed within the	\$0	
	assessment contract as a condition of extending the STAR	Difference	
	contract.	\$0	
	Assembly approved Governor's proposal to restore the fourth grade writing assessment, but adopted LAO budget language.	<u>Language</u> <u>Only</u>	
	Senate took the same actions as the Assembly, but approved additional budget bill language to ensure that adjustments to the assessment contract do not result in the elimination of any tests or test components.		

6110	California Department of Education (CDE)		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	Joint Review of the Standardized Testing and	Assembly	
	Reporting (STAR) Language	\$0	
	Governor proposed budget language that LAO and DOF	Senate	
	shall jointly review the STAR assessment contract and report to the Governor and Legislature on its components,	\$0	
	current costs, and program improvement	Difference	
	recommendations.	\$0	
		<u>Language</u>	
	Assembly approved LAO alternative language that, in addition to reviewing the STAR contract, included a process to review options for developing and implementing student growth measures.	<u>Only</u>	

Senate did not approve either Governor's language or LAO language.

6110	California Department of Education (CDE)		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	Federal Funds – School Improvement Funds (SIF)	Assembly \$0	
	Governor proposed \$551.7 million in federal funding for		
	school improvement (school level and district level)	Senate	
	activities. Of this amount, about \$485.1 million remains undesignated. School Improvement Funds include both	\$0	
	ongoing federal Title I – Set Aside funds and Title I	Difference	
	School Improvement Grant (SIG) funds, as well as one- time funds authorized under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA).	\$0	
	Assembly approved LAO proposals to allocate SIG funds to Tier 1 and 2 schools. The Assembly continued Title I Set Aside funding for the AB 519 program.		
	Senate approved the same actions as the Assembly, but approved additional LAO recommendations to: (1) consolidate funding for Quality Education Investment Act (QEIA) districts participating in the new SIF programs, and (2) pro-rate funding for the AB 519 program, if needed.		

6110	California Department of Education (CDI	E)	Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	Federal Funds – Enhancing Education Through	Assembly	
	Technology (EETT) Program	\$0 ~	
		Senate	
	Governor submitted a Section 28.00 Budget Letter in October to authorize the expenditure of \$72 million in	\$0	
	additional, one-time federal funds for the EETT grant	Difference	
	program. These new funds were authorized under the	\$0	
	American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA). The		
	Governor also proposed \$10.6 million in ongoing EETT		
	funds in 2010-11. The Joint Legislative Budget		
	Committee did not concur with the plan.		
	Assembly approved \$34 million in one-time ARRA funds		
	for formula grants via Section 28.0 Letter. The remaining		
	\$37.6 million of one-time funds would be distributed for competitive grants per revised <u>CDE</u> language. For the		
	2010-11 ongoing grant, the Assembly approved \$5.3		
	million for formula grants and \$5.3 million for		
	competitive grants.		
	Senate also approved \$34 million for one-time ARRA		
	formula grants via Section 28.0 Letter, but approved \$48		
	million in one-time and ongoing funds for competitive grants in 2010-11 per <u>LAO</u> language. In addition, the		
	Senate approved \$450,000 for Department of Education		
	state administration in 2010-11.		

6110	California Department of Education (CD)E)	Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
000	Federal Funds – California Longitudinal Teacher	Assembly	
	Integrated Data Education System (CALTIDES)	\$200	
	Governor proposed a federal funds increase of \$3.9	Senate	
	million in federal Title II and Institute of Sciences funds and 3.0 positions for CDE development of CALTIDES.	\$0	
		Difference	
	Assembly approved the Governor's proposal and added \$200,000 in one-time Title II funds to support two existing software specialist positions.	\$200	

Item 6110 Page	2	5
----------------	---	---

6110	California Department of Education		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
110-0	001-0890 California Department of Education		
000	Feasibility Study on Early Learning Data Collection	Assembly Not heard	
	Governor: No proposal		
	Assembly: Not heard	Senate \$1,000	
	Assembly. Not neuro	from federal	
	Senate: Approved \$1 million from one time federal	funds	
	funds and three PY for the Early Learning Quality Improvement System Advisory Committee (ELQIS)	and 3PY	
	Quality Rating feasibility study.	Difference	
		\$1,000 and	
	The General Child Care program has been in existence since 1943, and the State Preschool program since 1966, without an evaluation system that gives the department and the public a clear sense of its classroom accomplishments.	3PY	

6110	California Department of Education		Section 1
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
511 0 -'	196-0001 California Department of Education		
323	Governor's Child Care Proposals	Assembly \$0	
	Governor: Multiple proposals for child care cost		
	reductions:	Senate	
	1. Reduce Regional Market Rate (RMR)	-\$4	
	reimbursement ceilings: savings of \$95.8 million.	BBL	
	2. Reduce income eligibility from 75 percent of state median family income (SMI) to 60 percent:	TBL	
	savings of \$54.4 million.	Difference	
	3. Reduce CalWORKs Stage 3 caseload by about	\$4	
	12,600 children: savings of \$91 million.	BBL	
		TBL	
	Assembly: Rejected Governor's proposals, but funded		
	child care programs from the Jobs Package revenues.		

language for potential solutions, if adopted.

6110	California Department of Education		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
6110-	196-0001 California Department of Education		
325	CalWORKs Child Care Caseload Adjustments	Assembly Rejected	
	Governor: The Governor's Budget reduced CalWORKs	-	
	Stage 2 and Stage 3 by \$13.8 million due to decreased	Senate	
	case load.	Approved	
	The Governor's May Revise proposed to further lower	Difference	
	funding for CalWORKs Stage 2 and Stage 3 by	-\$49,156	
	\$35,356,000 due to decreased case load estimates.		
	Assembly: Rejected Governor's January proposal, and		
	did not hear May Revise proposal.		
	Senate : Approved Governor's January and May Revise technical caseload reduction proposals.		

6110	California Department of Education		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
6110- ⁻	196-0001 California Department of Education		
329	Plan to Recover Improper Payments from Child Care	Assembly	
	Programs	Rejected	
	Governor: The Governor proposed trailer bill language	Senate	
	that would provide for contractual expectations for	TBL	
	Alternative Payment (AP) providers to prevent and correct errors in child care payments, and to collect	Difference	
	overpayments from families that benefit from the errors.	TBL	
	The Department of Education would have to report by		
	March 1, 2011, on the implementation of the		
	overpayment recovery plan.		
	Assembly: Rejected Governor's proposal.		
	Senate : Adopted placeholder trailer bill language for collection of overpayments.		

6110	California Department of Education		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
6110-	196-0001 California Department of Education		
000	Migrant Child Care	Assembly	
		AAB	
	Governor : \$36,246,000 for the Migrant Child Care		
	program.	Senate	
		\$32,746	
	Assembly: Approved as budgeted	. ,	
		Difference	
	Senate : Reduced program by \$3.5 million as a caseload adjustment.	\$3,500	

Item 6110 Page	30	0
----------------	----	---

6110	California Department of Education		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
6110- [,]	198-0001 California Department of Education		
000	After School Education and Safety (ASES) Program	Assembly Not heard	
	Governor : \$550 million for the ASES program.		
		Senate	
	Assembly: Approved as budgeted.	TBL	
	Senate: Approved funding for the ASES program, and	Difference	
	approved trailer bill language to place a measure on the	TBL	
	ballot to repeal Proposition 49 (which created the		
	automatic ASES funding requirement), and, if it passes,		
	to add the ASES program into the K-12 flex item.		
	The After School Education and Safety (ASES) Program		
	is the result of the 2002 voter-approved initiative,		
	Proposition 49. This proposition amended California		
	Education Code (EC) 8482 to expand and rename the		
	former Before and After School Learning and Safe		
	Neighborhood Partnerships Program.		

6440	University of California		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
6440-0	001-0001 University of California		
6440-(513	 Backfill of One-Time Reductions Governor: The Governor proposes an increase of \$305 million to backfill for \$305 million in reductions to UC in 2009-10. Assembly: \$305 million funded from the Jobs Package revenues. Senate: \$305 million contingent upon at least \$2 billion in new General Fund revenues above the May Revise level. UC General Fund: 2010-11: \$3,018.6 million (proposed) 2009-10: \$2,596.1 million 2008-09: \$2,418.3 million 2007-08: \$3,257.4 million UC Student Fee Revenue: 	Assembly \$305,000 from Jobs Package revenues Senate \$305,000 from new revenues (source unspecified) Difference Revenue Source	
	 2010-11: \$1,794.0 million (estimated) 2009-10: \$1,370.7 million 2008-09: \$1,114.5 million 2007-08: \$1,064.6 million 		

6440	University of California		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
6440·	001-0001 University of California		
511	Student Fees	Assembly \$200,000	
	Governor: The Governor assumes a 15 percent student		
	fee increase for the UC, which has already been approved	Senate	
	by the UC Regents in November 2009.	Not heard	
	Assembly: Approved \$200 million to backfill for student	Difference	
	fee increases, which would reduce the 15 percent student	\$200,000	
	fee increase to 5 percent. The funds come from the		
	Assembly's Jobs Package revenues.		

6440	University of California		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
6440-0	001-0001 University of California		
508	UC Retirement Plan	Assembly	
		Approved	
	Governor : The Governor proposes trailer bill language for the elimination of existing statute that states	TBL	
	Legislative intent that no General Fund augmentation be	Senate	
	used for contributions to the University of California	Rejected	
	Retirement Plan (UCRP).	TBL	
	Assembly: Approves Governor's trailer bill language.	Difference TBL	
	Senate: Rejected Governor's trailer bill language.	IDL	
	The UC Regents voted to start up both employee and		
	employer contributions to the UCRP as of May 1, 2010.		
	The 2010-11 cost of funding the employer contributions		
	to the UCRP is estimated at \$95.7 million. However, this		
	amount is dependent upon the employer contributions to		
	the UCRP being set at four percent and employee		
	contributions at two percent.		

Item 6440 Page	e 3	4
----------------	-----	---

6440	University of California		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
6440-(001-0001 University of California		
503	Student Academic Preparation and Education Programs (SAPEP) Governor : The Governor's Budget did not include	Assembly BBL	
	budget bill language specifying a dollar amount for the Student Academic Preparation and Education Program.	Senate BBL	
	Assembly : The Assembly adopted budget bill language that stated that the funding for the SAPEP could not be reduced from the 2009-10 level of funding, and that the UC should submit a report to the Legislature by April 1, 2011 on the expenditure of funds for the SAPEP. The Assembly's language did not specify dollar amounts to be spent on the program.	Difference BBL	
	Senate : The Senate adopted budget bill language that stated that the UC must spend \$19.3 million on the SAPEP, and that amount must be matched by \$12 million from other UC resources. The Senate language also allowed the UC to reduce this amount proportionally relative to the General Fund cuts since 2007-08. The Senate language also specified that the UC should submit a report to the Legislature by April 1, 2011 on the expenditure of funds for the SAPEP.		

6440	University of California		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
6440-(001-0001 University of California		
507	Charles Drew University Medical Programs Governor : The Governor's budget provides \$8.7 million General Fund for Charles Drew University (CDU).	Assembly \$12,700 General Fund	
	Assembly : \$12.7 million for CDU. The Assembly augmented the Charles Drew University (CDU) contract funding by \$4 million. Of this amount, \$2 million is for	Senate AAB	
	the joint nursing program with UCLA and \$2 million is for a cost of living (COLA) for the medical program. The joint nursing program with UCLA has not yet begun operations.	Difference \$4,000 General Fund	

oven as bung •PP

CDU now receives \$8.7 million dollars from the Legislature through an allocation to the University of California. This funding is channeled through UCLA for (1) a joint medical program between UCLA and CDU and (2) CDU's residency program. It should be noted that due to the closure of the Martin Luther King Hospital, CDU no longer offers a residency program.

In all of the years of state funding for CDU, the joint medical program has never received a COLA adjustment.

6440	University of California		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
6440-	301-0660 University of California		
001	Capital Outlay Projects	Assembly	
003	Governor: The Governor's April Finance Letter	\$84,257	
	proposed six lease-revenue funded capital outlay projects	(with future	
	for the University of California. Three of these projects	cost of \$50	
	were approved by both houses due to life-safety concerns.	million)	
	Assembly: AAB	C .	
	·	Senate	
	Senate : Approved three life-safety projects, rejected three projects for capacity expansion.	\$0	
	unce projects for capacity expansion.	Difference	
	The projects in Conference are:	\$84,257	
	1. UC Irvine – Business School Unit 2: \$2.6 million	+	
	from 1996 General Obligation Bond funds for		
	preliminary plans and working drawings (with		
	future construction cost of \$44.5 million from		
	lease-revenue bonds).		
	2. UC Merced – Science and Engineering Building 2:		
	\$81 million from lease-revenue bonds for working		
	drawings and construction.		
	3. UC San Diego – SIO Research Support Facilities:		
	\$613,000 from 1996 General Obligation Bond		
	funds for preliminary plans and working drawings		
	(with future construction cost of \$5.5 million from		
	lease-revenue bonds).		

6610	California State University		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
6610-	001-0001 California State University		
555	Backfill of One-Time Funds	Assembly	
	Governor : The Governor proposes an increase of \$305	\$305,000	
	million to backfill for \$305 million in reductions to CSU	from Jobs	
	in 2009-10. Those reductions were backfilled in 2009-10	Package	
	with \$255 million in one-time ARRA funds.	revenues	
	Assembly: \$305 million funded from the Jobs Package	Senate	
	revenues.	\$305,000	
	Senate: \$305 million contingent upon at least \$2 billion	from new	
	in new General Fund revenues above the May Revise	revenues	
	level.	(source	
		unspecified)	
	CSU General Fund:	1 /	
	• 2010-11: \$2,723.4 million (proposed)	Difference	
	• 2009-10: \$2,350.1 million	Revenue	
	• 2008-09: \$2,155.3 million	Source	
	• 2007-08: \$2,970.6 million		
	CSU Student Fee Revenue:		
	• 2010-11: \$1,260.5 million (proposed)		
	• 2009-10: \$1,158.1 million		
	• 2008-09: \$1,092.1 million		
	• 2007-08: \$900.3 million		

Item 6610 ------ Page 38

6610	California State University	S	ection I
Issue	Description	Difference Conf (dollars in thousands)	erence Action
6610-	-001-0001 California State University		
556	Student Fees	Assembly	
		\$75,000	
	Governor: Assumes a ten percent student fee inc		
	for the CSU, which has not yet been approved by	the Senate	
	CSU Board of Trustees.	Not heard	
	Assembly: Approved \$75 million to backfill for	student Difference	
	fees, which would reduce the proposed ten percent	t \$75,000	
	student fee increase to five percent. The funds we come from the Assembly's Jobs Package revenue	buld	

Senate: Not heard.

Item 6610	Page	39	
-----------	------	----	--

6610	California State University		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
6610-0	001-0001 California State University		
550	Student Academic Preparation and Student Support	Assembly	
	Services Programs	BBL	
	Governor: The Governor's Budget did not include	~	
	budget bill language specifying a dollar amount for the	Senate	
	student academic preparation and student support services programs.	BBL	
	Assembly: The Assembly adopted budget bill language	Difference	
	that stated that the funding for the academic preparation and support programs could not be reduced from the 2009-10 level of funding, and that the CSU should submit a report to the Legislature by April 1, 2011, on the expenditure of funds for the programs. The Assembly's language did not specify dollar amounts for the program.	BBL	
	Senate: The Senate adopted budget bill language that stated that the CSU must spend \$52 million on the academic preparation and support programs, and that of that amount \$45 million is for the Early Academic Assessment Program and the Educational Opportunity Program. The Senate language also allowed the CSU to reduce this amount proportionally relative to the General Fund cuts since 2007-08. The Senate language also specified that the CSU should submit a report to the Legislature by April 1, 2011, on the expenditure of funds for the outreach programs.		

Item 6610 ------ Page 40

6610	California State University		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
6610-301-065	8 California State University		
001 Capital	Outlay Projects	Assembly	

cupital cutaty i l'ojecto	ribbennerj	
Governor: The Governor's April Finance Letter	\$5,865	
proposed six lease-revenue funded capital outlay projects	(with \$106	
for the California State University. Three of these	million in	
projects were approved by both houses due to life-safety	future lease-	
concerns and are not in Conference.	revenue bond	
Assembly: Approved as budgeted	costs)	
Senate: Approved three life-safety projects, rejected	Senate	
	\$0	
The projects in Conference are: 1. CSU Chico – Taylor II Replacement Building: \$2.9 million from 1996 General Obligation bond funds (with future construction costs of \$58 million	Difference \$5,865	
X X		
/		
future construction costs of \$38.4 million in lease-		
revenue bond funds).		
3. CSU Fresno – Faculty Office/Lab Building:		
\$562,000 from 1996 General Obligation bond		
million in lease-revenue bond funds).		
	 Governor: The Governor's April Finance Letter proposed six lease-revenue funded capital outlay projects for the California State University. Three of these projects were approved by both houses due to life-safety concerns and are not in Conference. Assembly: Approved as budgeted Senate: Approved three life-safety projects, rejected three projects for capacity expansion. The projects in Conference are: CSU Chico – Taylor II Replacement Building: \$2.9 million from 1996 General Obligation bond funds (with future construction costs of \$58 million in lease-revenue bond funds). CSU Channel Islands – West Hall: \$2.4 million from 1996 General Obligation bond funds (with future construction costs of \$38.4 million in lease- revenue bond funds). CSU Fresno – Faculty Office/Lab Building: 	Governor: The Governor's April Finance Letter\$5,865proposed six lease-revenue funded capital outlay projects(with \$106for the California State University. Three of thesemillion inprojects were approved by both houses due to life-safetyfuture lease-concerns and are not in Conference.future lease-Assembly: Approved as budgetedcosts)Senate: Approved three life-safety projects, rejectedSenatethree projects for capacity expansion.Senate1. CSU Chico – Taylor II Replacement Building: \$2.9 million from 1996 General Obligation bond funds (with future construction costs of \$58 million in lease-revenue bond funds).Difference \$5,8652. CSU Channel Islands – West Hall: \$2.4 million from 1996 General Obligation bond funds (with future construction costs of \$38.4 million in lease- revenue bond funds).Jifference \$52,000 from 1996 General Obligation bond funds (with future construction costs of \$38.4 million in lease- revenue bond funds).3. CSU Fresno – Faculty Office/Lab Building: \$562,000 from 1996 General Obligation bond funds (with future construction costs of \$9.5

Item 6610 ------ Page 41

6870	California Community Colleges		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
6870-1	01-0001 California Community Colleges		
301	Enrollment Growth Funding	Assembly	
		\$128,200	
	Governor: The Governor proposes 2.2 percent		
	enrollment growth funding for CCC. This augmentation	Senate	
	would allow CCC to enroll approximately 26,000 Full	\$126,000	
	Time Student Equivalents (FTES).	Difference	
	Assembly: Approved \$128.2 million from the Jobs	\$2,200	
	Package Revenues, which in this case would count as	ψ 2,200	
	Proposition 98 spending.		
	Senate: Approved as budgeted.		
	LAO Alternative: Raise student fees from \$26 per unit		
	to \$40 per unit and use revenue to fund enrollment		
	growth.		
	Sto dr.		
	CCC Enrollment in FTES:		
	• 2010-11: 1,188,129 (Proposed)		
	• 2009-10: 1,250,000 (Estimated)		
	• 2008-09: 1,260,497		
	• 2007-08: 1,182,627		

Item 6870 ------ Page 42

6870	California Community Colleges		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action

6870-101-0001 California Community Colleges

302	Community College Categoricals	Assembly
		\$529,800
	Governor: The Governor proposes \$394.8 million for	in Proposition
	the 21 community college categoricals. This is roughly	98 funds
	the same amount as in the final 2009-10 Budget Act.	
		Senate
	Assembly: Increased funding for categoricals by \$135	AAB
	million (\$100 million for Economic Workforce	
	Development and \$35 million to backfill ARRA funding).	Difference
		\$135,000
	Senate: Approved as budgeted.	

6870	California Community Colleges		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
6870-101-000 1	California Community Colleges		
200 Basic S	xills Categorical Budget Bill Language	Assembly Not Heard	
Govern	or: The Governor proposed \$20 million for the		
Student	Success for Basic Skills Students categorical	Senate	
program		BBL	
Assemb	ly: Approved as budgeted.	Difference	
		BBL	
approve of that a colleges	Approved \$20 million for basic skills, and d budget bill language that allocates \$3.8 million mount (or 20 percent) to those community that can demonstrate improvement in basic skills completing the basic skills classes.		

Item 6870	Page	44

California Community Colleges		Section I
Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
101-0001 California Community Colleges		
Financial Aid Categorical Budget Bill Language	Assembly AAB	
Governor : The Governor proposed \$54.9 million for the		
Student Financial Aid Administration categorical.	Senate	
	BBL	
Assembly: Approved as budgeted.		
	Difference	
Senate : Approved \$54.9 million for the Financial Aid categorical, and approved budget bill language that changes the formula allocating \$34.2 million of this amount from the number of students receiving Board of Governor waivers to the number of students receiving federal Pell Grants.	BBL	
	Description I01-0001 California Community Colleges Financial Aid Categorical Budget Bill Language Governor: The Governor proposed \$54.9 million for the Student Financial Aid Administration categorical. Assembly: Approved as budgeted. Senate: Approved \$54.9 million for the Financial Aid categorical, and approved budget bill language that changes the formula allocating \$34.2 million of this amount from the number of students receiving Board of Governor waivers to the number of students receiving	DescriptionDifference (dollars in thousands)101-0001California Community CollegesFinancial Aid Categorical Budget Bill LanguageAssembly AABGovernor:The Governor proposed \$54.9 million for the Student Financial Aid Administration categorical.Senate BBLAssembly:Approved as budgeted.Difference BBLSenate:Approved \$54.9 million for the Financial Aid categorical, and approved budget bill language that

Item 6870 Pa	age	45
--------------	-----	----

6870	California Community Colleges		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference	Conference Action
		(dollars in thousands)	

6870-295-0001 California Community Colleges

211	Mandates	Assembly
014	Senate action was:	\$21
104	1. Funded two mandates: Sex Offenders, disclosure;	due to
	and Sexual Assault Response Procedures.	deferring all
	2. Eliminated three mandates: Law Enforcement	mandates
	College Jurisdiction Agreements; Reporting	
	Improper Governmental Activities; and Agency Fee	Senate
	Arrangements.	See left
	3. Suspended all other CCC mandates through 2012-13	column
	consistent with timetable for categorical flexibility	
	programs.	Difference
	4. Requested the LAO to establish a three agency	Treatment of
	working group with DOF and CCC to review	mandates
	suspended mandates and develop recommendations	
	for modifying mandates to eliminate or minimize	
	costs in 2011-12, so that continuation of mandates	
	could be considered in 2011-12.	
	5. Rejected Governor's trailer bill language suspending	
	all CCC mandates for one year.	
	6. Approved Governor's budget bill language adding	
	some mandates to the budget bill schedule that had	
	not been previously listed in the budget bill.	

Item 6870 ------ Page 46

7980	California Student Aid Commission		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
7980-	101-0001 California Student Aid Commission		
008	Student Loan Operating Fund	Assembly \$115,000	
	Governor : The Governor's May Revise proposed to	Correcto	
	transfer \$75 million from the Student Loan Operating Fund (SLOF) to the General Fund.	Senate \$100,000	
	Assembly: Approved \$115 million transfer from SLOF	Difference	
	to General Fund.	\$15,000	
	Senate : Approved \$100 million transfer from SLOF to General Fund.		
	The SLOF has an estimated balance of \$119 million, of which \$4 million is in fixed assets.		

7980	California Student Aid Commission		Section I
Issue	Description	Difference (dollars in thousands)	Conference Action
7980-′	101-0001 California Student Aid Commission		
003	Federal Funds Offset	Assembly	
		BBL	
	Governor: The Governor's May Revise proposes to off-	C	
	set General Fund expenditures for local assistance	Senate	
	financial aid programs with \$7,227,000 in federal funds, and the Cash for College Program outreach material costs	Difference	
	with \$133,000 in federal funds, for a total of \$7,360,000	BBL	
	in federal funds off-sets.	DDL	
	Assembly: The Assembly modified the Governor's May		
	Revise proposal with budget bill language (1) specifying		
	that the challenge grant offset costs of loan assumption		
	programs, and (2) requiring a report by the Director of		
	Finance within 30 days of federal action on the challenge grant application.		

Senate: Approved Governor's May Revise proposal.