

AGENDA – PART II**ASSEMBLY BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE NO. 1 ON HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES****ASSEMBLYMEMBER TONY THURMOND, CHAIR****TUESDAY, MAY 24, 2016****1:30 P.M. - STATE CAPITOL, ROOM 444**

| VOTE-ONLY CALENDAR | | |
|---------------------------|--|-----------|
| ITEM | DESCRIPTION | |
| 5180 | DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES (ISSUES 1-45) | 1 |
| 0530 | OFFICE OF SYSTEMS INTEGRATION, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY (ISSUES 46-53) | 13 |
| 0530 | OFFICE OF HEALTH INFORMATION INTEGRITY, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY (ISSUE 54) | 15 |
| 4170 | CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF AGING (ISSUES 55-58) | 16 |
| 4185 | CALIFORNIA SENIOR LEGISLATURE (ISSUE 59) | 17 |
| 4700 | DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY SERVICES AND DEVELOPMENT (ISSUES 60-62) | 17 |
| 5175 | DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES (ISSUES 63-64) | 18 |
| -- | ADDITIONAL ITEMS (ISSUES 65-66) | 18 |
| -- | ATTACHMENTS (ISSUES 3, 7, 10, 28, 48, AND 49) | 19 |

VOTE-ONLY CALENDAR

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|---|---|--------------------|--|
| 5180 Department of Social Services | | | |
| 1 | Supplemental Security Income/State Supplementary Payment (SSI/SSP) Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA): Governor's Budget includes \$40.7 M GF for a COLA increase to the SSP portion of the grant on a one-time basis starting Jan. 1, 2017 using the CA Necessities Index (CNI), estimated at 2.96 percent. (May Revision adjusted the CNI downward to 2.76.) Includes a proposal for trailer bill language (TBL). | 9-Mar | No vote needed -- action on this item conforms to Issue 35. |
| 2 | In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA): Governor's Budget includes costs (\$395 M GF, \$850 M total funds in 2016-17) to implement the federal FLSA rules for overtime payment pursuant to current law. | 9-Mar | No vote needed -- action on this item conforms to Issue 32. |
| 3 | IHSS: Contract Mode Adjustments to Maintenance of Effort (MOE) TBL: Governor's Budget included a proposal to clarify in existing law that counties are responsible for paying the entire nonfederal share of any IHSS cost increase exceeding the maximum amount of the State's participation, and that the counties' share of these expenditures are included in the county IHSS MOE. | 9-Mar | Adopt placeholder trailer bill that authorizes counties' IHSS MOEs to be adjusted by the increased costs associated with increases in locally negotiated provider wage and health benefits only and not all contract cost increases. Utilize language provided by the County Welfare Directors Association in the trailer bill process. See "Attachment for Issue 3." This action is intended to conform to the Senate's action, which also adopts the CWDA trailer bill language as a starting point with the goal of reaching consensus. |
| 4 | IHSS Case Management, Information, and Payrolling System (CMIPS II) Maintenance and Operations (M&O) Budget Change Proposal (BCP): Requests 2 positions and \$117k GF (\$232k total funds) to enable the ongoing workload of the CMIPS II project for the Universal Assessment Tool. | 9-Mar | Approve as Budgeted. |

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|-----------|---|--------------------|---|
| 5 | <p>CMIPS II Reprogramming for Additional Hours in Coordinated Care Initiative (CCI): Advocacy request to require reprogramming of CMIPS II to allow managed care plans to pay IHSS providers for additional hours authorized by the CCI. Costs of this effort are estimated to be one-time costs of \$3 M and ongoing of \$500k.</p> | 9-Mar | <p>Approve proposal to fund the costs of the CMIPS II changes at \$3 million General Fund (\$6 million total funds) in 2016-17 and \$500,000 General Fund (\$1 million total funds) ongoing, with a request to the Office of Systems Integration to consider the most efficient way to include this functionality with the many other change orders taking effect and planned for CMIPS II.</p> <p>The intent of the Subcommittee is for the costs of this change to be as low as possible given other change orders being processed with the vendor.</p> |
| 6 | <p>Adult Protective Services Training Proposal: Advocacy request for a statewide training system for APS staff with a cost of \$5 M GF annually.</p> | 9-Mar | <p>Approve \$5 million General Fund for this request on Adult Protective Services statewide training.</p> |
| 7 | <p>CalWORKs Expanded Subsidized Employment (ESE) TBL: Advocacy proposal from the counties to adopt trailer bill language to streamline the two CalWORKs subsidized employment programs, the first under AB 98 and the later-created ESE, to reduce the administrative burden of two separate programs and to help maximize utilization of the programs. There is no cost associated with this request.</p> | 30-Mar | <p>Approve trailer bill language as placeholder. See "Attachment for Issue 7."</p> |
| 8 | <p>CalWORKs Homeless Assistance Program (HAP): Advocacy proposal to lift the once in a lifetime restriction on the temporary and permanent housing benefits available in the program, making this assistance available once every twelve months, beginning January 1, 2017.</p> | 30-Mar | <p>Approve the advocacy request as described for CalWORKs HAP, with funding of \$1.4 million General Fund for half-year costs (\$2.7 million on-going) for benefits and \$1 million General Fund for automation costs in 2016-17, for a total General Fund cost of \$2.4 million in 2016-17. Approve TBL as placeholder to effectuate this change.</p> |
| 9 | <p>CalWORKs Housing Support Program (HSP): Advocacy proposal to augment HSP, currently funded at \$35 M GF, by \$15 M GF, enabling the program to serve an additional 1,900 families with 3,800 children in counties with existing programs and new counties wishing to participate.</p> | 30-Mar | <p>Approve \$15 million General Fund to augment the CalWORKs HSP.</p> |

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
| 10 | CalFresh: TBL to Maximize Recertification Period: Advocacy request for TBL to ensure that CalFresh certification periods are expanded to the maximum period allowable under federal law. There is no fiscal effect associated with this request. | 30-Mar | Approve trailer bill language as placeholder. See "Attachment for Issue 10." |
| 11 | Immigration Services Program: Advocacy request to add \$25 M GF to the program, funded at \$15 M GF in the 2015 Budget, to meet the critical need for services in the state and reflect the demonstrated qualified capacity of service providers in the community. | 30-Mar | Approve a \$10 million General Fund augmentation for the Immigration Services Program. |
| 12 | Unaccompanied Undocumented Minors: Concerns have been raised with the Assembly regarding the need for trailer bill language to clarify the intent of SB 873 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review) Chapter 685, Statutes of 2014 and AB 900 (Levine) Chapter 694, Statutes of 2015. Some courts have interpreted Code of Civil Procedure Section 155 in ways that are inconsistent with the intent of the Legislature in enacting SB 873 and AB 900. The language recommended for approval would seek to correct this. | 30-Mar | Please see below. |
| | Reco: Adopt placeholder trailer bill language that makes the following clarifications: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the SIJS findings can be made at any point in the proceedings. 2. That the prerequisites for SIJS findings are the same across superior court divisions. 3. That the perceived motivations of the child/juvenile in seeking classification as a special immigrant juvenile shall not be included or referred in the findings under this section. 4. That it is in the best interest of the child (also within the meaning of Section 3011 of the Family Code) for a superior court to issue the SIJS factual findings if requested and supported by evidence. | | |
| 13 | Continuum of Care Reform (CCR): Governor's Budget includes \$61 M GF (\$95 M total funds) to continue to implement CCR in 2016-17. Most major components of AB 403 (Stone, Chapter 773, Statutes of 2015) become effective on January 1, 2017, requiring significant implementation efforts by the state, counties, and foster care providers in advance of that date. | 6-Apr | No vote needed -- action on this item conforms to Issue 28. |

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|-----------|--|--------------------|---|
| 14 | <p>Continuum of Care Reform BCP: Requests state operations resources of 34.5 positions at a cost of \$2.5 M GF (\$5 M total) to prepare for and implement the CCR in the Budget Year.</p> | 6-Apr | Approve the BCP as Budgeted. |
| 15 | <p>Child Welfare Services Case Reviews BCP: Governor's Budget requests 7 positions totaling \$791k (\$396k GF) to establish a Child Welfare Case Reviews unit in response to the federal Administration for Children and Families (ACF) notification that DSS oversight of Child Welfare Services is inadequate and needs a proper quality assurance program as required in the Child and Family Services Review.</p> | 6-Apr | Approve the BCP as Budgeted. |
| 16 | <p>Psychotropic Medication Oversight in Foster Care BCP: Governor's Budget requests 5 positions and contracting funding totaling \$833k (\$784k GF) to meet the requirements of recently enacted legislation (SB 238 and SB 484). SB 238 requires monthly county-specific reports for children in foster care who are prescribed psychotropic medications through Medi-Cal. SB 484 is intended to identify and mitigate inappropriate levels of psychotropic medication use by children in foster care residing in group homes licensed by DSS.</p> | 6-Apr | Approve the BCP as Budgeted. |
| 17 | <p>Child Care for Foster Children: Advocacy proposal, including a request for \$22 M GF annual cost to provide an immediate, time-limited voucher to any resource family needing child care for children ages 0 through 3, as well as parenting foster youth, for up to six months following a child's placement. This voucher would ensure care while the caregiver is at work, school, or fulfilling training and home approval requirements.</p> | 6-Apr | Approve the voucher component of this advocacy proposal at \$11 million General Fund half-year costs, for an effective date of January 1, 2017 to align with the Continuum of Care Reform effort's implementation date. Full-year costs would be \$22 million General Fund. Approve placeholder trailer bill to effectuate this change. |

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|-----------|--|--------------------|--|
| 18 | <p>Meeting the Requirements of Commercially Sexually Exploited Children (CSEC) Mandates: Advocacy proposal requesting \$19.7 M GF to ensure child welfare agencies can meet their mandate of serving CSEC victims. Recent federal mandates have created an imperative for child welfare agencies to serve this population who have unique and extraordinary needs for service or supports that cannot be met through the traditional child welfare program.</p> | 6-Apr | <p>Approve the CSEC request at \$19.7 million General Fund for local assistance. Staff will consult with the Department of Finance, Department of Social Services, and CWDA regarding any state operations request associated with this appropriation.</p> |
| 19 | <p>Chafee Education and Training Voucher (ETV) Grants to All Eligible Foster Youth: Advocacy proposal requesting \$3.63 M GF to provide Chafee ETV grants to all eligible foster youth who apply by September 2nd of each year. This proposal also seeks to align the institutional eligibility to receive the Chafee ETV with the criteria applied to institutions who receive the Cal Grant as part of their proposal.</p> | 6-Apr | <p>Approve the Chafee advocacy proposal at \$3.63 million General Fund, with placeholder trailer bill to be developed associated with this proposal.</p> |
| 20 | <p>Pregnancy Prevention Among Foster Youth: Advocacy proposal requesting \$10 M GF total for a two-part proposal, including \$4.5 M for county child welfare agencies to fund specific activities associated with supporting the delivery of evidenced-based services for foster youth and \$5.5 M to increase and provide earlier in a pregnancy the infant supplement grant for foster youth.</p> | 6-Apr | <p>Approve the revised Pregnancy Prevention proposal, as described in this agenda, at \$10 million General Fund, with placeholder trailer bill to be developed associated with this proposal.</p> |
| 21 | <p>Modify Eligibility for the Transitional Housing Program (THP) for Former Foster Youth: Advocacy proposal requesting \$5 M GF to make the THP-Plus available to youth who would be eligible if they were in foster care on or after age 16, including those who exited to adoption, reunification, or guardianship after age 16, a group not currently eligible for the program.</p> | 6-Apr | <p>Approve the funding associated with this advocacy proposal for THP-Plus at \$5 million General Fund, with placeholder trailer bill to be developed associated with this proposal.</p> |

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|-----------|--|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| 22 | <p>Community Care Licensing Random Inspections Technical Fix BCP: Governor's Budget requests a technical correction to the DSS 2015-16 Quality Enhancement and Program Improvement BCP and 20 positions totaling \$2.3 M GF to perform annual random inspections required by SB 79 (Chapter 20, Statutes of 2015).</p> | 6-Apr | Approve the BCP as Budgeted. |
| 23 | <p>Caregiver Background Check Arrest Only Workload BCP: Governor's Budget requests 5 positions totaling \$892k (\$816k GF) to continue reviewing, investigating, and processing criminal record clearances for individuals with an arrest record seeking licensure, employment, or presence in a licensed community care facility.</p> | 6-Apr | Approve the BCP as Budgeted. |
| 24 | <p>AB 1387 Community Care Licensing Complaints and Appeals Process and AB 601 Residential Care Facility for Elderly Ownership Disclosure BCP: Governor's Budget requests 2 positions totaling \$273k GF to meet the requirements of these two bills. AB 1387 restructures the process by which licensees of facilities licensed by DSS may appeal the assessment of a civil penalty or deficiency. AB 601 requires DSS to cross-check with the Department of Public Health to present an individual with fines, deficiencies, or citations under a different license, from being approved for a license to operate a Residential Care Facility for the Elderly.</p> | 6-Apr | Approve the BCP as Budgeted. |
| 25 | <p>Home Care Consumer Protection Act (AB 1217) BCP: Governor's Budget requests 6.5 positions costing \$1 M GF to implement the licensing and registration activities of the Home Care Services Consumer Protection Act, accommodating updated projections on the numbers of Home Care Organizations and Independent Home Care Aides affected and accounting for costs not previously included in a prior BCP.</p> | 20-Apr | Approve the BCP as Budgeted. |

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|---|--|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| 26 | Transfer of the Commodity Supplemental Food Program (CSFP) Spring Finance Letter: Requests the transfer of 1 position and associated funding from the CA Department of Education (CDE) effective July 1, 2016, designating DSS as the new state administrative agency for the CSFP. | 20-Apr | Approve the Spring Finance Letter. |
| 27 | State Hearings Division (SHD) Affordable Care Act (ACA) Caseload BCP: Governor's Budget requests the permanent extension of 56 positions to continue to provide the required due process for Medi-Cal and Covered CA recipients. They were approved as limited-term in 2014-15 to adjudicate appeals associated with the ACA. | 20-Apr | Approve the BCP as Budgeted. |
| 28 | May Revision: Continuum of Care Reform: Proposes \$117 M GF (\$148 M total funds) in 2016-17 for CCR implementation for county child welfare and probation departments. | 18-May | Please see below. |
| <p>Reco: Adopt the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Approve the CCR changes made in the May Revision. 2. Approve \$2.4 million General Fund to fund the current costs of a social worker for the additional implementation and ongoing workload associated with the Resource Family Approval process that is required by AB 403. Pursuant to the terms of Proposition 30, the state must fully fund the actual costs on a county by county basis of the workload associated with any new child welfare and foster care requirements enacted after 2011. 3. Approve Supplemental Report Language (SRL) developed by the Legislative Analyst's office (see "Attachment for Issue 28") in consultant with the counties, subject to further refinements before it is ultimately adopted by the Conference Committee. 4. Approve the following uncodified change to statute regarding CCR rates: <u>The department shall convene stakeholders, including county placing agencies, providers, foster youth, and legislative staff, commencing no later than July 1, 2016, to discuss the adequacy of the proposed foster care rates and rate structure, and the extent to which the rates will achieve the desired outcomes for continuum of care reform and AB 403 (Statutes of 2015). The department shall report back to the legislative budget committees no later than August 10, 2016 on the results of these discussions. To the extent the proposed rates have changed, the department shall provide updated projected costs no later than January 10, 2017.</u> | | | |

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|-----------|--|--------------------|---|
| 29 | <p>May Revision: Approved Relative Caregiver (ARC) Program Parity TBL: Proposes TBL seeking to clarify that a relative who has been approved under the resource family approval (RFA) process and who is federally ineligible for Aid to Families with Dependent Children-Foster Care (AFDC-FC) is authorized to receive a CalWORKs grant and a supplemental amount equal to the resource family basic amount paid to children who are federally eligible for AFDC-FC.</p> | 18-May | Approve the replacement ARC trailer bill language, currently available on the DOF website, as placeholder. |
| 30 | <p>May Revision: Child Near Fatalities Reporting and Disclosure TBL: Proposes TBL to comply with the federal Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA), which requires that states receiving funds under CAPTA must disclose to the public findings and information about child abuse and neglect cases that result in fatalities and near fatalities. According to the DSS, federal grants totaling \$4.8 M will be compromised if statutory changes are not made by October 1, 2016.</p> | 18-May | Adopt placeholder trailer bill language on Child Near Fatalities that complies with federal requirements, subject to discussions that will continue in the trailer bill process. This action conforms to action taken in the Senate to adopt placeholder trailer bill language. |
| 31 | <p>May Revision: Tribal ARC Program TBL: Proposes a \$200k increase for a Tribal ARC Program to include cases that are under the jurisdiction of the tribal court for Yurok and Karuk tribes, which are not included in the current ARC caseload.</p> | 18-May | Adopt the May Revision proposal and trailer bill as placeholder. |
| 32 | <p>May Revision: FLSA Regulations, Overtime, and Compliance: Proposes an additional \$7.7 M total funds (\$3.6 M GF) in 2015-16 and \$47.4 M total funds (\$22.2 M GF) in 2016-17 for exemptions to the FLSA hour limitations. Also includes a technical adjustment to the calculation of the weekly hours cap so that hours in a given month are divided by four weeks. No TBL is proposed by the Administration.</p> | 18-May | Please see below (next page). |

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|-----------|--|--------------------|---|
| | <p>Reco: Approve the May Revision request from the Administration with the following modifications and a placeholder one-time amount of \$40 million General Fund to fund the cost of these additional actions. As part of this action, approve placeholder trailer bill language to effectuate these changes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Extend the grace period to September 1, 2016 and implement the necessary automation changes in CMIPS II to support county operations. 2. Require DSS to mail an informational notice and exemption request form to a limited and relatively small number of providers who meet the exemption criteria. 3. Require DSS to establish a written state-level appeals process for providers who are not granted exemptions from the maximum workweeks by the county or the department. 4. Extend the current five-day county review process before violations are issued, to no less than 10 business days. 5. Timesheet Errors: Authorize counties to rescind violations based on timesheet errors. 6. Specify that all providers may work no more than 70.75 hours (70 hours, 45 minutes) per week. | | |
| 33 | <p>May Revision: Restoration of the IHSS 7 Percent Across-the-Board Hours Reduction with TBL: Proposes that the restoration of the 7 percent reduction should remain in effect as long as the MCO tax is operational. The Administration's proposal is that if the MCO tax expires and/or the federal government disallows the tax, the 7 percent reduction would be reinstated. Includes TBL to effectuate this purpose.</p> | 18-May | Adopt the May Revision proposal (this supersedes the prior Subcommittee action taken related to the 7 percent) and the Administration's trailer bill language as placeholder. |
| 34 | <p>May Revision: Universal Assessment Tool: Proposes that Item 5180-111-0001 be decreased by \$1.2 M and reimbursements be decreased by \$1.2 M to reflect a delay in implementation of the Universal Assessment Tool pilot. The updated cost estimate reflects a more refined timeline that includes finalizing the assessment tool to be used for pilot testing, implementing the pilot testing in the selected counties, and assessing the impact of the tool on counties and information technology systems.</p> | 18-May | Adopt the May Revision proposal. |

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|---|---|--------------------|--|
| 35 | <p>May Revision: Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) for the State Supplementary Payment Program: Updates the January proposal to reflect a decrease in the CNI to 2.76 percent. The federal COLA expected for January 1, 2017 based on the CPI has been revised to zero at the May Revision (this may change before the end of the calendar year).</p> | 18-May | Adopt the May Revision adjustment and the January 1, 2017 COLA for SSP, with accompanying trailer bill as placeholder to effectuate this change. |
| 36 | <p>May Revision: CalWORKs October 2016 Maximum Aid Payment (MAP) Increase: Reflects a 1.43 percent increase to the CalWORKs MAP effective October 1, 2016 due to the balances projected to be available in the Child Poverty and Family Supplemental Support Subaccount.</p> | 18-May | Please see below. |
| <p>Reco: Update the Subcommittee's prior action on Repeal of the Maximum Family Grant Rule in CalWORKs and CalWORKs grant increases pursuant to the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Approve the 1.43% grant Increase pursuant to the Child Poverty Subaccount estimate of the Governor's May Revision, effective October 1, 2016. 2. Repeal the Maximum Family Grant Rule effective January 1, 2017. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cover the costs of repealing the MFG rule with growth in the Child Poverty Sub Account, with the General Fund temporarily backfilling the costs not covered by the subaccount. 3. The temporary costs to the General Fund of this action are estimated to be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2016-17: \$95 million • 2017-18: \$152 million • 2018-19: \$78 million • 2019-20: \$1 million 4. Adopt placeholder trailer bill to effectuate this entire action. 5. This action is subject to reconciliation with the Department of Finance and DSS to account for other one-time and on-going costs associated with these changes. | | | |

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|-----------|--|--------------------|---|
| 37 | May Revision: Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) Career Pathways TBL: Proposes TBL to require that welfare-to-work (WTW) participants in an Approved WIOA Career Pathway are deemed to meet the 24-month time clock hourly requirements, regardless of the actual number of hours participated, if participants are making satisfactory progress. DSS also proposes to define Approved WIOA Career Pathways and to require them to be approved by the Local Workforce Development Boards, which operate One-Stop Career Centers. | 18-May | Adopt the May Revision TBL proposal as placeholder. |
| 38 | May Revision: CalWORKs Welfare-to-Work 24-Month Time Clock and CalWORKs Services: Proposes changes in estimates based on additional information and evolving thinking about methodology and projected impacts related to these subjects. | 18-May | Adopt the May Revision estimates changes. |
| 39 | May Revision: TANF Block Grant Funds Transfer to the California Student Aid Commission (CSAC): Proposes that Item 5180-101-0890 be increased by \$282.9 M to reflect an increase in the amount of federal TANF block grant funds available to offset GF costs in the Cal Grant program administered by CSAC. | 18-May | Adopt the May Revision changes. Further changes related to this issue conform to other actions taken in CalWORKs. |
| 40 | May Revision: CalFresh and Able-Bodied Adults Without Dependents (ABAWD): Proposes to address the automation changes needed in the Statewide Automated Welfare System (SAWS) in order to adhere to the ABAWD rules that will come back into effect for CalFresh when the statewide waiver expires on Jan. 1, 2018. The costs are \$4.24 M (\$1.48 M GF) for 2016-17. | 18-May | Adopt the May Revision proposal. |
| 41 | May Revision: Transfer of Commodity Supplemental Food Program (CSFP) from CDE to DSS: Transfer the administration of the CSFP from CDE to DSS effective October 1, 2016, aligning with the Spring Finance Letter on this subject. | 18-May | Adopt the May Revision proposal. |

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| 42 | May Revision: Reappropriation of Funding Requests: Proposes reappropriation authority affecting the CalWORKs Housing Support Program and Fraud Recovery Incentive Payments and Various Child Welfare Services Items, detailed in the May 18, 2016 agenda. | 18-May | Adopt the May Revision proposal and associated Budget Bill language changes. |
| 43 | May Revision: Minimum Wage Impacts on Various Programs: Proposes minimum wage impacts for IHSS, CalWORKs, and the California Food Assistance Program, all detailed in the May 18, 2016 agenda. | 18-May | Adopt the May Revision proposal. |
| 44 | May Revision: Caseload Adjustments: Includes updates for caseload changes based on actuals and changes in estimates, all detailed in the May 18, 2016 agenda. | 18-May | Adopt the May Revision proposal. Further changes related to this conform to other actions taken in DSS. |
| 45 | Diaper Vouchers for CalWORKs Welfare to Work Recipients with Young Children: Asm. Gonzalez has requested that the Subcommittee consider funding of \$16 M GF for a five-year period for DSS to provide a diaper need assistance program. This program would provide one \$50 monthly voucher for the purchase of diapers, per child age two or younger, to families enrolled in CalWORKs Welfare to Work who qualify for child care as a supportive service. | N/A | Adopt the voucher for diapers proposal for the CalWORKs program at a cost of \$16 million General Fund annually. |

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|---|---|--------------------|---|
| 0530 Office of Systems Integration, Health and Human Services Agency | | | |
| 46 | Appeals Case Management System (ACMS) BCP: Requests an increase of \$237k (\$134k GF) in OSI spending authority for the ACMS project and the conversion of 7 existing state positions from limited-term to permanent. The expenditure authority increase is intended to align to the revised project schedule and the costs included in the Local Assistance estimate. | 20-Apr | Approve the BCP as Budgeted. |
| 47 | Child Welfare Services New System (CWS-NS) Project BCP: Governor's Budget requests 1 new permanent position, the conversion of 8 limited-term positions to permanent, and a net increase of \$171k in the OSI spending authority for the CWS-NS Project. To ensure that the CWS-NS is procured, developed, and implemented with maximum effectiveness, the Governor's Budget states that it is imperative that the project be appropriately and consistently staffed. | 20-Apr | Approve the BCP as Budgeted. |
| 48 | Child Welfare Digital Services (CWDS) Spring Finance Letter: Requests an augmentation of \$32.1 M in combined state and federal funds for the DSS local assistance costs and \$28.6 M in expenditure authority for OSI to develop and implement the CWS-NS inclusive of children's residential facility licensing functionality, to replace the existing system. These costs include state, county, and vendor costs, as well as project support costs. Additionally, budget bill language (BBL) is being requested to allow for increased project funding beyond the appropriation authority with specified requirements met. | 20-Apr | Adopt the Spring Finance Letter changes with revised Budget Bill language (BBL) to increase legislative oversight. See "Attachment for Issue 48." |

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|-----------|---|--------------------|--|
| 49 | <p>CWDS Governance and Implementation Oversight TBL: Advocacy request to codify language for collaboration with counties in the development and maintenance process for CWDS, including requiring existing functionality to be maintained until full statewide implementation of the new system.</p> | 20-Apr | Adopt TBL as placeholder. See "Attachment for Issue 49." |
| 50 | <p>CMIPS II BCP: Governor's Budget requests an increase of \$4.8 M in OSI spending authority and 1 position for the CMIPS II project and a corresponding increase of \$8.7 million in DSS local assistance budget authority. \$4.8 M will fund workload increases in staffing and annual base operational costs and \$3.9 M is requested in DSS local assistance funding for data center services.</p> | 20-Apr | Approve the BCP as Budgeted. |
| 51 | <p>County Expense Claim Reporting Information System (CECRIS) Spring Finance Letter: Requests 3 permanent positions to migrate validated business rules to a claiming system that resides on sustainable architecture that leverages and builds upon existing shared enterprise technology. The costs associated with the request are \$291k total funds (\$115k GF) in 2016-17, rising slightly in the out-years.</p> | 20-Apr | Approve the Spring Finance Letter. |
| 52 | <p>May Revision: CalHEERS Project Cost and Expenditures Alignment: It is requested that Item 0530-001-9745 (California Health and Human Services Automation Fund) be decreased by \$1,641,000 to align the Office of Systems Integration's expenditure authority with the revised CalHEERS project costs for fiscal year 2016-17. Conforming changes to Budget Bill language (BBL) is also proposed.</p> | 18-May | Adopt the May Revision request with associated BBL. |
| 53 | <p>May Revision: Receipt of Grant Award for Office of Health Information Integrity: It is requested that Item 0530-017-0942 (Special Deposit Fund) be added to reflect the anticipated receipt of an \$800,000 grant awarded by the</p> | 18-May | Adopt the May Revision request with associated BBL. |

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|--|---|--------------------|---|
| | <p>California Healthcare Foundation. These one-time funds will be used by the California Office of Health Information Integrity to secure subject matter expert consultants to assist in the development of guidance for non-state organizations, local governments, health care providers, Health Information Exchange entities, and other stakeholders. Conforming changes to Budget Bill language (BBL) is also proposed.</p> | | |
| 0530 Office of Health Information Integrity, Health and Human Services Agency | | | |
| 54 | <p>Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) Budget Reduction Proposal and TBL: Governor's Budget requests a reduction to the Office of Health Information Integrity (OHII) staffing and amendments to its statutory obligations to recognize and align to HIPAA compliance and implementation in the state and in government. This proposal eliminates 5 positions and operating expenses for a net reduction of \$1.3 M (\$1.2 M GF).</p> | 20-Apr | <p>Approve the BCP as Budgeted and the associated TBL as placeholder.</p> |

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|--|---|--------------------|---|
| 4170 California Department of Aging | | | |
| 55 | Information Technology (IT) Branch Staffing BCP: Governor's Budget requests 3 positions costing \$423k in existing expenditure authority for its IT Branch to bring staffing up to the minimum level necessary to meet State IT requirements, ensure a stable network environment and mitigate security concerns to an acceptable level. | 13-Apr | Approve the BCP as Budgeted. |
| 56 | Community-Based Adult Services (CBAS) Branch Request for Additional Staffing to Comply with State and Federal Mandates BCP: Governor's Budget requests \$705k (\$319k GF and \$386k in reimbursements from the Department of Health Care Services) for its CBAS Branch to support four additional positions and one Nurse Evaluator II needed to ensure compliance with the current state statutes as well as new federal requirements for CBAS provider certification. | 13-Apr | Approve the BCP as Budgeted. |
| 57 | Senior Nutrition: Advocacy request for \$5.4 M GF to augment existing senior nutrition programs in the state. Funds would be distributed to all Area Agencies on Aging (AAAs) based on the state formula that allocates funds proportionately across seven factors, many of which are the same as the federal Interstate Funding Formula, but also include seniors living in poverty and those aged 75 and older. These funds would to augment current meals on wheels and congregate nutrition programs on the local level. | 13-Apr | Approve \$5.4 million General Fund for local assistance to support and expand Senior Nutrition programs statewide. |
| 58 | Long-Term Care Ombudsman Program: Advocacy proposal requests \$3.6 M GF for Ombudsman staffing to enable unannounced monitoring visits, complaint investigation, and volunteer recruitment, training, and supervision. | 13-Apr | Conform to action taken in the Department of Public Health to use an ongoing transfer of \$1 million Special Funds from the Health Citations Penalty Account for Ombudsman. |

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|--|--|--------------------|---|
| 4185 California Senior Legislature | | | |
| 59 | California Senior Legislature (CSL) Relief Appropriation: Advocacy request for one-time funding of \$500k GF to continue the CSL program and fund its basic administrative costs. Tax check-off contribution yields were not sufficient to sustain the current program's basic operating expenses and 1 staff position. | 13-Apr | Approve \$500,000 General Fund on a one-time basis for the California Senior Legislature. |
| 4700 Department of Community Services and Development | | | |
| 60 | Support for Low-Income Weatherization Program BCP: Governor's Budget requests \$75 M from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund in 2016-17 to continue supporting the Low-Income Weatherization Program (LIWP) activities promoting greenhouse gas emission reductions in the residential sector and energy savings for low-income households. | 13-Apr | Defer to actions taken in Sub. 3 on the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund. |
| 61 | Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) Performance Management and Accountability System BCP: Governor's Budget requests 5 positions (\$440k federal funds) to perform newly required federal mandates, which will become effective October 1, 2016. These mandates require annual monitoring of all CSBG eligible entities and require CSD to collect and analyze intricate data and report the findings back to the federal awarding agency. | 13-Apr | Approve the BCP as Budgeted. |
| 62 | Migrant and Seasonal Farmworkers (MSFW) Drought Emergency Assistance Program BCP: Governor's Budget requests \$7.5 M GF to continue emergency supportive services for one additional fiscal year to vulnerable, low-income populations, including MSFW and individuals experiencing employment impacts due to the drought disaster. Funds will be used for support services, such as rental/mortgage assistance, utility assistance, transportation, food referrals/resources, and job training/placement services. | 13-Apr | Approve the BCP as Budgeted. |

| Issue No. | Issue / Program | Prior Hearing Date | Recommended Subcommittee Action |
|--|--|--------------------|---|
| 5175 Department of Child Support Services | | | |
| 63 | May Revision Federal Funds Adjustment: Proposes reflection of a projected decrease of \$407k in Federal Performance Incentive Funds and a corresponding increase in Child Support Collection Recovery Funds. | 18-May | Adopt the May Revision changes. |
| 64 | May Revision Carry Forward: Requests that Item 5175-101-0890 be increased by \$587k to reflect the estimated amount of unspent federal Child Support Non-Custodial Parent Employment Demonstration Project funds carried forward to 2016-17. The unspent funds result from a projected decrease in 2015-16 enrollment in the demonstration project. | 18-May | Adopt the May Revision changes. |
| Additional Items | | | |
| 65 | Addition of Budget Bill Control Section 4.13: The May Revision requests that Control Section 4.13 be added to facilitate repayments to counties pursuant to Chapter 24, Statutes of 2013 (AB 85). AB 85 modified the 1991 Realignment Local Revenue fund distributions to capture and redirect county savings from the implementation of federal health care reform. These savings are reallocated to counties to pay an increased county contribution toward the costs of CalWORKs grants, which reduces General Fund expenditures. The state redirected \$300 million in fiscal year 2013-14; however, actual county savings in 2013-14 are lower than previously estimated and the May Revision assumes repayment of \$177.4 million to counties in 2016-17. | N/A | Adopt the May Revision proposal related to the inclusion of Control Section 4.13. |
| 66 | Concluding Item for Human Services Issues and Departments Covered in this Agenda | N/A | <u>No Vote is Necessary for This Item:</u> All Governor's Budget and May Revision changes not otherwise mentioned are accepted and conforming with these actions taken. It is requested that DOF consult with Subcommittee staff about any scoring or recording questions. |

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment for Issue 3

CWDA IHSS Contract Mode TBL

Section 12306.15 of the Welfare and Institutions Code is amended to read:

12306.15 (a) Commencing July 1, 2012, all counties shall have a County IHSS Maintenance of Effort (MOE). In lieu of paying the nonfederal share of IHSS costs as specified in Sections 10101.1, 12306, and 12306.1, counties shall pay the County IHSS MOE.

(b) (1) The County IHSS MOE base year shall be the 2011–12 state fiscal year. The County IHSS MOE base shall be defined as the amount actually expended by each county on IHSS services and administration in the County IHSS MOE base year, as reported by each county to the department, except that for administration, the County IHSS MOE base shall include no more or no less than the full match for the county's allocation from the state.

(2) Administration expenditures shall include both county administration and public authority administration. The County IHSS MOE base shall be unique to each individual county.

(3) For a county that made 14 months of health benefit payments for IHSS providers in the 2011–12 fi year, the Department of Finance shall adjust that county's County IHSS MOE base calculation.

(4) The County IHSS MOE base for each county shall be no less than each county's 2011–12 expenditures for the Personal Care Services Program and IHSS used in the caseload growth calculation pursuant to Section 17605.

(c) (1) On July 1, 2014, the County IHSS MOE base shall be adjusted by an inflation factor of 3.5 percent.

(2) Beginning on July 1, 2015, and annually thereafter, the County IHSS MOE from the previous year shall be adjusted by an inflation factor of 3.5 percent.

(3) (A) Notwithstanding paragraphs (1) and (2), in fi years when the combined total of 1991 realignment revenues received pursuant to Sections 11001.5, 6051.2, and 6201.2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, for the prior fiscal year is less than the combined total received for the next prior fiscal year, the inflation factor shall be zero.

(B) The Department of Finance shall provide notification to the appropriate legislative fiscal committees and the California State Association of Counties by May 14 of each year whether the inflation factor will apply for the following fiscal year, based on the calculation in subparagraph (A).

(d) **(1)** In addition to the adjustment in subdivision (c), the County IHSS MOE shall be adjusted for **either or both of the following if occurring before the Statewide Authority assumes the responsibilities set forth in Section 110011 of the Government Code for a given county as provided in Section 12300.7:**

(A) The annualized cost of increases in provider wages or health benefits that are locally negotiated, mediated, or imposed ~~before the Statewide Authority assumes the responsibilities set forth in Section 110011 of the Government Code for a given county as provided in Section 12300.7.~~

(B) The annualized cost of the portion of the increases in rates for contracts due to increases in provider wages or health benefits that are locally negotiated, mediated, or imposed for contracts that are entered into by a county under Section 12302, in accordance with Section 12302.1 and 12303.

~~(1)~~ (2) (A) If the department approves the rates and other economic terms for a locally negotiated, mediated, or imposed increase in the provider wages, health benefits, or other economic terms pursuant to Section 12306.1 and paragraph ~~(3)~~ **(4)**, the state shall pay 65 percent, and the affected county shall pay 35 percent, of the nonfederal share of the cost increase in accordance with subparagraph (B).

(B) With respect to any increase in provider wages or health benefits approved after July 1, 2012, pursuant to subparagraph (A), the state shall participate in that increase as provided in subparagraph (A) up to the amount specified in subdivision

(d) of Section 12306.1.

(C) The county share of these expenditures shall be included in the County IHSS MOE, in addition to the amount established under subdivisions (b) and (c). For any increase in provider wages or health benefits that becomes effective on a date other than July 1, the Department of Finance shall adjust the county's County IHSS MOE to reflect the annualized cost of the county's share of the nonfederal cost of the wage or health benefit increase.

~~(2)~~ **(3)** (A) If the department does not approve the rates and other economic terms for a locally negotiated, mediated, or imposed increase in the provider wages, health benefits, or other economic terms pursuant to Section 12306.1 or paragraph (3), the county shall pay the entire nonfederal share of the cost increase.

(B) The county share of these expenditures shall be included in the County IHSS MOE, in addition to the amount established under subdivisions (b) and (c). For any increase in provider wages or health benefits that becomes effective on a date other than July 1, the Department of Finance shall adjust the county's County IHSS MOE to reflect the annualized cost of the county's share of the nonfederal cost of the wage or health benefit increase.

~~(3)~~ **(4)** In addition to the rate approval requirements in Section 12306.1, it shall be presumed by the department that locally negotiated rates and other economic terms within the following limits are approved:

(A) A net increase in the combined total of wages and health benefits of up to 10 percent per year above the current combined total of wages and health benefits paid in that county.

(B) A cumulative total of up to 20 percent in the sum of the combined total of changes in wages or health benefits, or both, until the Statewide Authority assumes the responsibilities set forth in Section 110011 of the Government Code for a given county as provided in Section 12300.7.

(e) The County IHSS MOE shall only be adjusted pursuant to subdivisions (c) and (d).

(f) The Department of Finance shall consult with the California State Association of Counties to implement the County IHSS MOE, which shall include, but not be limited to, determining each county's County IHSS MOE base pursuant to subdivision (b), developing the computation for the annualized amount pursuant to subdivision (d), and the process by which it will be determined that each county has met its County IHSS MOE each year.

Attachment for Issue 7**CWDA Subsidized Employment Program Simplification TBL
(Amended by CDSS)**

WIC Section 11320.15 is amended to read:

11320.15. After a participant has been removed from the assistance unit under subdivision (a) of Section 11454, additional welfare-to-work services may be provided to the recipient, at the option of the county. If the county provides services to the recipient after the 48-month limit has been reached, the recipient shall participate in community service or subsidized employment, as described in Section ~~11322.63~~ 11322.64.

WIC Section 11322.63 is amended to read:

(a) For counties that implement a welfare-to-work plan that includes subsidized private sector or public sector employment activities, the State Department of Social Services shall pay the county 50 percent, less one hundred thirteen dollars (\$113), of the total wage costs of an employee for whom a wage subsidy is paid, subject to all of the following conditions:

(1) (A) For participants receiving CalWORKs aid, the maximum state contribution of the total wage cost shall not exceed 100 percent of the computed grant for the assistance unit in the month prior to participation in subsidized employment.

(B) For participants who have received aid in excess of the time limits provided in subdivision (a) of Section 11454, the maximum state contribution of the total wage cost shall not exceed 100 percent of the computed grant for the assistance unit in the month prior to participation in subsidized employment.

(C) In the case of an individual who participates in subsidized employment as a service provided by a county pursuant to Section 11323.25, the maximum state contribution of the total wage cost shall not exceed 100 percent of the computed grant that the assistance unit received in the month prior to participation in the subsidized employment.

(D) The maximum state contribution, as defined in this paragraph, shall remain in effect until the end of the subsidy period as specified in paragraph (2), including with respect to subsidized employment participants whose wage results in the assistance unit no longer receiving a CalWORKs grant.

(E) State funding provided for total wage costs shall only be used to fund wage and nonwage costs of the county's subsidized employment program.

(2) State participation in the total wage costs pursuant to this section shall be limited to a maximum of six months of wage subsidies for each participant. If the county finds that a longer subsidy period is necessary in order to mutually benefit the employer and the participant, state participation in a subsidized wage may be offered for up to 12 months.

(3) Eligibility for entry into subsidized employment funded under this section shall be limited to individuals who are not otherwise employed at the time of entry into the subsidized job, and who are current CalWORKs recipients, sanctioned individuals, or individuals described in Section 11320.15 who have exceeded the time limits specified in subdivision (a) of Section 11454. A county may continue to provide subsidized employment funded under this section to individuals who become ineligible for CalWORKs benefits in accordance with Section 11323.25.

(b) Upon application for CalWORKs after a participant's subsidized employment ends, if an assistance unit is otherwise eligible within three calendar months of the date that subsidized employment ended, the income exemption requirements contained in Section 11451.5 and the

work requirements contained in subdivision (c) of Section 11201 shall apply. If aid is restored after the expiration of that three-month period, the income exemption requirements contained in Section 11450.12 and the work requirements contained in subdivision (b) of Section 11201 shall apply.

(c) The department, in conjunction with representatives of county welfare offices and their directors and the Legislative Analyst's Office, shall assess the cost neutrality of the subsidized employment program pursuant to this section and make recommendations to the Legislature, if necessary, to ensure cost neutrality. The department shall testify regarding the cost neutrality of the subsidized employment program during the 2012–13 fiscal year legislative budget hearings.

(d) No later than January 10, 2013, the State Department of Social Services shall submit a report to the Legislature on the outcomes of implementing this section that shall include, but need not be limited to, all of the following:

(1) The number of CalWORKs recipients that entered subsidized employment.

(2) The number of CalWORKs recipients who found nonsubsidized employment after the subsidy ends.

(3) The earnings of the program participants before and after the subsidy.

(4) The impact of this program on the state's work participation rate.

(e) Payment of the state's share in total wage costs required by this section shall be made in addition to, and independent of, the county allocations made pursuant to Section 15204.2.

(f) (1) A county that accepts additional funding for expanded subsidized employment for CalWORKs recipients in accordance with Section 11322.64 shall continue to expend no less than the aggregate amount of funding received by the county pursuant to Section 15204.2 that the county expended on subsidized employment pursuant to this section in the 2012–13 fiscal year.

(2) This subdivision shall not apply for any fiscal year in which the total CalWORKs caseload is projected by the department to increase more than 5 percent of the total actual CalWORKs caseload in the 2012–13 fiscal year.

(g) For purposes of this section, "total wage costs" include the actual wage paid directly to the participant that is allowable under the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families program.

(h) This section shall become operative on October 1, 2013.

(i) This section shall ~~remain in effect until June 30, 2016~~ become inoperative on July 1, 2016, and as of January 1, 2017 is repealed.

WIC Section 11322.64 is amended to read:

(a) (1) The department, in consultation with the County Welfare Directors Association of California, shall develop an allocation methodology to distribute additional funding for expanded subsidized employment programs for CalWORKs recipients, or individuals described in Section 11320.15 who have exceeded the time limits specified in subdivision (a) of Section 11454.

(2) Funds allocated pursuant to this section may be utilized to cover all expenditures related to the operational costs of the expanded subsidized employment program, including the cost of overseeing the program, developing work sites, and providing training to participants, as well as wage and nonwage costs.

(3) The department, in consultation with the County Welfare Directors Association of California, shall determine the amount or proportion of funding allocated pursuant to this section that may be utilized for operational costs, consistent with the number of employment slots anticipated to be created and the funding provided.

(b) Funds allocated for expanded subsidized employment shall be in addition to, and independent of, the county allocations made pursuant to Section 15204.2 ~~and shall not be used by a county to fund subsidized employment pursuant to Section 11322.63.~~

(c) (1) A county that accepts additional funding for expanded subsidized employment for CalWORKs recipients in accordance with this section shall continue to expend no less than the aggregate amount of funding received by the county pursuant to Section 15204.2 that the county expended on subsidized employment pursuant to this Section 11322.63, as that section read on June 30, 2016, in the 2012–13 fiscal year.

(2) This subdivision shall not apply for any fiscal year in which the total CalWORKs caseload is projected by the department to increase more than 5 percent of the total actual CalWORKs caseload in the 2012–13 fiscal year.

(d) Each county shall submit to the department a plan regarding how it intends to utilize the funds allocated pursuant to this section.

~~(d)~~(e) (1) Participation in subsidized employment pursuant to this section shall be limited to a maximum of six months for each participant.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), a county may extend participation beyond the six-month limitation described in paragraph (1) for up to an additional three months at a time, to a maximum of no more than 12 total months. Extensions may be granted pursuant to this paragraph if the county determines that the additional time will increase the likelihood of either of the following:

(A) The participant obtaining unsubsidized employment with the participating employer.

(B) The participant obtaining specific skills and experiences relevant for unsubsidized employment in a particular field.

~~(e)~~(f) A county may continue to provide subsidized employment funded under this section to individuals who become ineligible for CalWORKs benefits in accordance with Section 11323.25.

~~(f)~~(g) Upon application for CalWORKs assistance after a participant's subsidized employment ends, if an assistance unit is otherwise eligible within three calendar months of the date that subsidized employment ended, the income exemption requirements contained in Section 11451.5 and the work requirements contained in subdivision (c) of Section 11201 shall apply. If aid is restored after the expiration of that three-month period, the income exemption requirements contained in Section 11450.12 and the work requirements contained in subdivision (b) of Section 11201 shall apply.

~~(g)~~(h) No later than April 1, 2015, the State Department of Social Services shall submit at least the following information regarding implementation of this section to the Legislature:

(1) The number of CalWORKs recipients that entered subsidized employment.

(2) The number of CalWORKs recipients who found nonsubsidized employment after the subsidy ends.

(3) The earnings of the program participants before and after the subsidy.

(4) The impact of this program on the state's work participation rate.

Attachment for Issue 10**CalFresh Recertification Period**

WIC Section 18910.1. ~~It is the intent of the Legislature that a~~All CalFresh households shall be assigned certification periods that are the maximum number of months allowable under federal law ~~for the~~based on the household's ~~circumstances~~ type, unless a county is complying with subdivision (b) of Section 18910, ~~or, on a case-by-case basis only, the~~ household's individual circumstances require a shorter certification period.

Attachment for Issue 28**Continuum of Care Reform Supplemental Report Language**

Items 5180-001-0001 and 4260-001-0001—Continuum of Care Reform (CCR). The Department of Social Services (DSS) and the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS), in collaboration with the California Association of Counties and its affiliate organizations, shall provide monthly in-person updates to the Legislature on progress toward the implementation of CCR. Elements of these in-person updates that require data reporting on county allocations, county costs and savings, county recruitment and retention efforts, provider transitions to the CCR service model, and provider mental health services capacity may occur quarterly rather than monthly, and to the extent the relevant data is available. Monthly updates shall convert to quarterly updates once all finalized guidance listed under Section (a) has been delivered to counties. Commencing July 2016, the updates shall include but not be limited to:

(a) ***Update on Guidance to Counties and Stakeholders.*** Status updates on the delivery of interim and finalized guidance (including All County Letters, Information Notices, and Fiscal Letters) to counties and stakeholders on, as well as county progress toward implementing, the following:

(i) The Child and Family Teaming process, including but not limited to guidance on when children's initial meeting must take place, the frequency with which meetings occur, and the composition of the teams (including the participation of mental health professionals on the team).

(ii) How the assessment tool(s) will inform placement and Level of Care decisions made by the child and family team both during the pilot phase and after a standard assessment tool has been selected and implemented.

(iii) How, and over what timeframe, the assessment tool will be evaluated (including details on how the pilot will be used to eventually select an assessment tool for statewide deployment).

(iv) What types of core services will be provided by Level of Care when applicable, for children in Short Term Residential Therapeutic Programs (STRTP), Foster Family Agencies (FFA), Therapeutic Foster Care (TFC), and Resource Families.

(v) Resource Family Approval process .

(vi) County licensing, mental health certification, and Medi-Cal certification of STRTPs, FFAs, and TFCs.

(vii) Access standards for mental health services for children in STRTPs, FFAs, TFCs, and Resource Families, including what types of services will be offered by county mental health and managed care plans and the standards for timely access.

(b) ***Tracking County Savings and Costs.*** A status update on the development and communication with counties of a methodology to track county costs and savings related to CCR implementation.

(c) ***Systems Changes.*** A status update on the automation changes to the Child Welfare Services Case Management System and licensing systems needed to implement CCR (including the automation of foster care payments and the automated implementation of the selected standard assessment tool).

(d) ***Update on Recruitment and Retention Funding.*** Status updates on the recruitment and retention of new resource families, including:

- (i) County allocations of the recruitment and retention funding in the 2015-16 and 2016-17 fiscal years.
 - (ii) The most utilized county activities funded with 2015-16 and 2016-17 recruitment and retention funding.
 - (iii) Outcome measures to assist the Legislature in evaluating the effectiveness of various recruitment and retention activities at the county level. This could include the number of resource families recruited and retained with the funding, and each county's net change in such placements.
- (e) **Update on Allocations.** Status updates on county allocations of state and federal funding for all other local assistance components of the CCR spending package.
- (f) **Update on the Transition of Providers to the CCR Service Model.** With a focus on changes over time, status updates on the transition of providers to the CCR service model, including:
- (i) Number of applications for STRTP and FFA licensure.
 - (ii) Status of accreditations of STRTPs and FFAs.
 - (ii) Number of licenses granted to STRTPs and FFAs.
 - (iii) Number of license extensions requested by group homes, by probation and child welfare agencies.
 - (iv) Number of license extensions granted to group homes, by probation and child welfare agencies.
 - (v) Primary reasons why group home license extensions are necessary.
 - (vi) Number of FFAs and group homes not pursuing a new license under CCR standards and the current licensed capacity of those providers.
- (g) **Update on Capacity to Provide Mental Health Services.** With a focus on changes over time, status updates on the capacity of providers to provide mental health services, including:
- (i) Number of STRTPs with mental health plan contracts to provide mental health services by host county and placing county.
 - (ii) Number of FFAs with mental health plan contracts to provide mental health services by host county and placing county.
 - (iii) Number of FFAs electing to provide TFC placements.
- (h) **Update on Provider Performance Outcomes Dashboard.** Status updates on the creation of a provider performance outcomes dashboard, including:
- (i) Until public, the anticipated release date of the public dashboard.
 - (ii) Individual measures, including any measures related to mental health, expected to be added to the dashboard and their anticipated date of publication.

Commencing January 2017, to the extent the relevant data is available and in addition to the elements above, the quarterly updates shall include but not be limited to:

- (a) **Tracking Child Outcomes Over Time.** With a focus on changes over time, and to the extent data is available, status updates on the following child outcome measures:

- (i) Number of children in out-of-home care stratified by placement type, Level of Care, and whether the supervising department is county child welfare or probation.
 - (ii) Number of placements per child.
 - (iii) Proportion of placements that constitute a move to a less restrictive setting.
 - (iv) Number of children receiving the FFA services-only rate, stratified by county.
 - (v) Length of stay for children in STRTPs and TFC placements.
 - (vi) Number of children receiving specialty mental health services stratified by service type, county, placement type, and Level of Care.
 - (vii) Number of children receiving mental health services under Medi-Cal managed care stratified by service type, county, placement type, and Level of Care.
 - (viii) Provider and county performance on timely access standards for mental health for the foster care population.
 - (ix) Number of service complaints received by county Medi-Cal ombudsman pertaining to specialty mental health service availability for foster youth.
- (b) ***Update on CCR-Related Costs and Savings.*** Once available, status updates on CCR-related costs and savings, including:
- (i) Ongoing county costs and savings related to CCR implementation.
 - (ii) Other services and supplemental payments for which counties use reinvested CCR-related savings (including funding for FFA services-only rate).
- (c) ***Update on the Assessment Tool Pilot.*** Status updates on the assessment tool pilot shall include but not be limited to:
- (i) Information on the assessment tool pilot evaluation and the ultimate selection of a standardized assessment tool.
 - (ii) Ongoing information on how DSS is ensuring consistent statewide application of the new Level of Care-based rate structure.
- (d) ***Update on CCR Rates.*** Status updates on how DSS is evaluating the adequacy of the new CCR rate structure, including:
- (i) The extent to which the new STRTP and FFA rates adequately compensate providers for the new service requirements of CCR.
 - (ii) The extent to which each of the Level of Care rate levels provides adequate resources to resource families caring for children at all assessed levels of need.

Attachment for Issue 48**Child Welfare Digital Services Budget Bill Language**

Add Provision 11 to Item 5180-151-0001:

11. (a) Of the funds appropriated in Schedule (1) of this item, \$27,763,000 is for the support of activities related to the Child Welfare Services-New System (CWS-NS) project. Expenditure of these funds is contingent upon approval of project documents by the Department of Finance and the Department of Technology. This amount may be increased by the Department of Finance, up to a maximum of \$5,000,000 during the 2016-17 fiscal year, upon approval of revised project documents. Such an increase shall only be used to support an acceleration of planned project activities , and shall not be used to increase total project costs. Any such increase shall be authorized no less than 30 calendar days following written notification to the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, or a lesser period if requested by the department and approved by the Chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee or his or her designee.
- (b) The Department of Finance may authorize the transfer of funds appropriated for the CWS-NS project in Schedule (1) of this item to Item 5180-001-0001 for project-related activities, including but not limited to necessary personal services expenditures, interagency agreements, and contracts.
- (c) The Department of Social Services, in coordination with other state entities and counties involved in the CWS-NS project efforts, shall: (1) provide stakeholders, counties, and the Legislature project status reports monthly, including newly executed contracts, their purpose, and cost; and (2) convene a regularly scheduled quarterly forum to provide project updates to stakeholders and legislative staff. The forums shall include updates on the progress of project development and implementation; expenditures incurred to date; significant issues and risks overcome in the prior quarter and presently being addressed; and upcoming project milestones and significant events.

Amend Provision 1 in Item 5180-151-0890 as follows:

“1. Provisions 1, 3, ~~and 5,~~ and 11 of Item 5180-151-0001 also apply to this item.”

Add provisional language to Item 0530-001-9745 as follows:

5. Notwithstanding Provision 1, the Department of Finance is authorized to increase expenditure authority in this item to support project management activities associated with the Child Welfare System-New System project.

Attachment for Issue 49**CWDA Child Welfare Digital Services TBL**

Section XXXXX is added to the Welfare and Institutions Code:

XXXXX. (a) (1) The legislature hereby finds and declares the Child Welfare Digital Services – New System (CWS-NS) is the most important system in the state for child welfare services staff to ensure the safety and well-being of California’s children. The State of California has embarked upon on an agile procurement of the Child Welfare Digital Services.

(2) The legislature further finds and declares that this approach requires significant engagement with the end user throughout the life of the system, specifically including the county human services agencies and child welfare services and probation staff.

(b) (1) The Department of Social Services, Office of Systems Integration (OSI) and the County Welfare Directors Association (CWDA) jointly shall seek resources to enable the necessary level of engagement by the counties in the Child Welfare Digital Services agile development and maintenance process to prevent the disruption of services to families and children at risk. This shall include, but not be limited to, timely and expeditious execution of contracts and contract amendments for participation in this effort, effective monitoring and evaluation of the Child Welfare Digital Services effort, and implementation of mitigation strategies for risks and issues arising in the procurement, development, implementation or operation of digital services pursuant to this section.

(2) The Department and OSI shall provide a voting seat on all governance bodies of the Child Welfare Digital Services for a CWDA representative and shall support and provide necessary accommodation for the stationing of county representatives at the project site.

(3) The Department and OSI shall continue to provide monthly updates to the Legislature and to stakeholders, including CWDA, regarding efforts to develop and implement the ~~Child Welfare Digital Services~~ (CWS-NS). The updates shall include, but not be limited to (i) the vacancy rate, the duration of each vacant position and its classification, and the status of efforts to fill the position; (ii) challenges with recruiting and retaining qualified staff and a description of efforts to resolve the issues; (iii) challenges with procurement, including any delays, and a description of efforts to resolve the issues; (iv) any issues or risks, including but not limited to pending state and federal approvals and impacts on county child welfare programs, that may jeopardize the project’s completion or result in delays relative to the approved project schedule, budget, and scope; and (v) progress on the project, by digital service (module) along with a description of each digital service, and projected completion dates for any significant upcoming project milestones. Following the effective date of this section, a list of newly executed contracts, their purpose, and amounts shall be added to the monthly update.

(4) The Department and OSI, in coordination with CWDA and the Department of Technology, shall convene a regularly scheduled quarterly forum to provide project updates to stakeholders and legislative staff. These forums shall include updates on (i) the progress of the ~~CWDS~~ CWS-

NS development and implementation, (ii) expenditures incurred to-date, (iii) significant issues and risks overcome in the last quarter and significant issues and risks presently being addressed, and (iv) upcoming project milestones and significant events-, (v) how the agile approach has affected the project's overall cost and schedule, (vi) how the Department of Technology's approval and oversight processes are being applied to the agile implementation approach, and (vii) how lessons learned from the agile implementation of the CWS-NS project can be leveraged by other state IT projects.

(c) The existing Child Welfare Services Case Management System (CWS/CMS) operations and functionality shall be maintained at a level at least commensurate with its December 2015 status, and shall not be decommissioned prior to the full statewide implementation of the Child Welfare Digital Services ns in all counties. Full statewide implementation is defined as after all existing CWS/CMS functionality has been replaced in ~~Child Welfare Digital Services~~ (CWS-NS), and has been implemented in all 58 counties for a minimum of six months with no significant (non-cosmetic) defects outstanding.