



**2009-10  
Budget Conference Committee  
on SB 61**

**Upon Call of the Chair – Room 4203**

**HUMAN SERVICES**

**Assemblymember Noreen Evans, Chair  
Senator Denise Moreno Ducheny, Vice Chair**

**Members: Senator Bob Dutton, Senator Mark Leno, Senator Alan Lowenthal, and Senator Mimi Walters  
Assemblymember Bob Blumenfield, Assemblymember Kevin De León, Assemblymember Roger Niello, and Assemblymember Jim Nielsen**

## Table of Contents

<u>Item #</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
4170	<b>Department of Aging</b>	
	Elimination of Multipurpose Senior Services Program.....	1
	Elimination of Linkages Program.....	2
	Elimination of Community Based Service Program .....	3
	Elimination of State Operations for Adult Day Health Care Program.....	4
4200	<b>Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs</b>	
	Reduction of Drug Medi-Cal Rates by 10 percent .....	5
	Elimination of Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act and Substance Abuse Offender Treatment Program .....	6
5180	<b>Department of Social Services</b>	
	Federal Fostering Connections to Success Act and State Program Improvement Plan .....	7
	Creation of Subsidized Relative Guardianship.....	8
	Reduction of Specified Foster Care Rates .....	9
	Reduction to Child Welfare Services.....	10
	Reform of Adoption Assistance Program.....	11
	Transitional Housing Program Plus.....	12
	Elimination of State Funding for Community Care Licensing Program.....	13
	In-Home Supportive Services Share of Cost Buyout .....	14
	IHSS Limit Domestic and Related Services .....	15
	IHSS Cost Containment.....	15
	Reduction of State Participation in IHSS Wages to Minimum Wage.....	16
	IHSS Program Integrity Initiative.....	17
	IHSS Public Authority Administration.....	18

## Table of Contents

<b><u>Item #</u></b>	<b><u>Title</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
5180	<b>Department of Social Services (continued)</b>	
	Reduction of Supplemental Security Income/State Supplementary Payment Grants to the Federal Minimum.....	19
	Elimination of CalWORKs Program .....	20
	CalWORKs Reduction Proposals .....	21
	Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants and California Food Assistance Program.....	22
	Health and Human Services Realignment .....	23
	Statewide Fingerprint Imaging System.....	24
	CalWORKs and Kin-GAP Caseload .....	25
	Adjustments for Federal Stimulus .....	26
	<b>HUMAN SERVICES VOTE ONLY ITEMS .....</b>	<b>27</b>

**4170 Department of Aging**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
600	<p><b>Elimination of Multipurpose Senior Services Program (MSSP)</b></p> <p>The May Revise proposes 2009-10 savings of \$13.7 million General Fund (GF), to eliminate MSSP. Due to ramp-down time, this includes nine months of local assistance (\$13.1 million) and six months of state operations savings (\$600,000).</p> <p>This proposal would also result in a loss of \$714,000 in federal funds in 2009-10 (including enhanced federal financial participation (FFP) under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA)).</p>		-13,700,000	<p>MSSP is a federal home and community-based waiver program that prevents premature and unnecessary long-term institutionalization of seniors aged 65 and older. Through 41 sites statewide, the program provides case management services to approximately 14,000 high-risk, Medi-Cal eligible individuals. All of these individuals are either eligible for out-of-home care with skilled nursing or have a medical condition that requires physician visits at least every 60 days and constantly available nursing services.</p>
			TBL	

**4170 Department of Aging**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
700	<p><b>Elimination of Linkages Program (Linkages)</b></p> <p>The May Revise proposes 2009-10 savings of \$6.5 million GF to eliminate Linkages. Due to ramp-down time, this includes nine months of local assistance (\$6.4 million) and six months of state operations savings (\$79,000).</p>	-6,458,000	<p>Through 36 sites across the state, Linkages provides case management services to 5,500 elderly and younger adults (aged 18 and older) who have functional impairments and are at risk of institutionalization. Clients do not need to be Medi-Cal eligible, although many of them are or are close to becoming eligible. In May 2008, there were 2,100 people on waiting lists for the program.</p>	

TBL

**4170 Department of Aging**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
800	<p><b>Elimination of Community Based Service Program (CBSP)</b></p> <p>The May Revise proposes 2009-10 savings of \$4 million GF to eliminate CBSP programs, including the Alzheimer’s Day Care Resource Center (ADCRC); Brown Bag; Respite Purchase of Services and Senior Companion Programs.</p> <p>Due to ramp-down time, this includes nine months of local assistance (\$4 million) and six months of state operations savings (\$27,000).</p>		-4,022,000	<p>The largest CBSP is the ADCRC, with a local assistance budget of \$3.8 million. Fifty-seven ADCRCs provide infrastructure support so Adult Day Care and Day Health Care centers can serve 3,200 individuals with dementia.</p> <p>With a local assistance budget of \$541,000 plus \$13 million in local matching funds and 3,900 volunteers, 600 Brown Bag CBSP sites provide free surplus and donated fruits, vegetables and other foods to 27,000 low-income seniors.</p> <p>With a local assistance budget of \$317,000, the Respite CBSP provides temporary relief to caregivers of frail elderly or impaired adults at risk of institutionalization.</p> <p>With a local assistance budget of \$420,000, the Senior Companion CBSP provides support services to 413 at-risk adults by paying limited-income senior volunteers a small hourly stipend to assist them.</p>

TBL

**4170 Department of Aging**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
900	<p><b>Elimination of State Operations for Adult Day Health Care Program (ADHC)</b></p> <p>The May Revise proposes savings of \$2.1 million (\$966,000 GF and the remainder federal funds) to eliminate state operations for ADHC.</p> <p>(This will conform to action taken in Item 4260, Department of Health Care Services.)</p>		-966,000	<p>The ADHC Program is a community-based day program that provides a variety of health, therapeutic, and social services to restore or maintain the capacity of frail older persons and adults with functional impairments for self-care. ADHCs are largely regulated by the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS). However, the Department of Aging retains a certification role for ADHC centers for participation in the Medical program. The ADHC Program has been proposed for elimination in all of its components in the May Revision.</p> <p>This issue will conform to action taken in Item 4260, DHCS.</p>

**4200 Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
700	<p><b>Reduction of Drug Medi-Cal (DMC) Rates by 10 percent</b></p> <p>The May Revise proposes savings of \$8.8 million to reduce by 10 percent DMC Perinatal (\$244,000) and Regular (\$8,584,000) rates per unit of service.</p> <p>This reduction would also result in the loss of \$12.9 million in federal funding (including the impact of enhanced FFP under ARRA).</p>		-8,828,000	<p>The DMC program provides drug and alcohol treatment services to persons lacking health insurance and with incomes up to 250 percent of the federal poverty level. Treatment is provided through these four modalities: 1) the Narcotics Treatment Program for persons who are opiate addicted, 2) Day Care Rehabilitative services, 3) Outpatient Drug Free services, and 4) Perinatal substance abuse services. Total caseload is estimated at 270,598 individuals.</p> <p>According to ADP, there are currently 1,409 DMC providers in California certified to bill the DMC program (which they project to increase to 1,577 by 2010-11).</p>

TBL



**4200 Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
701	<b>Elimination of Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act (SACPA) and Substance Abuse Offender Treatment Program (OTP)</b>		-108,034,000	<p>SACPA (Proposition 36) was approved by California voters in 2000. As a result, 48,000 nonviolent drug offenders annually are sentenced to drug treatment rather than incarceration. SACPA included \$120 million annually for five years to fund treatment services and criminal justice supervision. OTP was enacted with \$25 million by a 2006 budget trailer bill to improve SACPA outcomes. Funding for SACPA and OTP have been reduced to the current \$90 million and \$18 million, respectively, by the Legislature and Governor’s actions.</p> <p>UCLA studies of SACPA have found criminal justice, social services, and tax receipt savings to state and local governments ranging from \$2.50 to \$4 for every \$1 the state spends.</p> <p>Elimination of state funds from SACPA does not eliminate underlying sentencing laws. Thus, counties may still be required to continue treatment for eligible offenders, but have less treatment capacity. Public safety risks and increased recidivism could result if offenders remain on the streets without treatment and oversight.</p> <p>LAO proposes continuing the programs with a reduction to SACPA funding. They also recommend the use of federal Byrne Grant funds to supplement OTP services.</p>
702	<p>The May Revise proposes \$108 million GF savings to eliminate SACPA (\$90 million) and OTP (\$18 million), including State Operations supporting them.</p> <p>The state may also lose up to \$102 million in federal Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment block grant funds by failing to meet the required level of funding for its maintenance of effort (MOE). The Department would attempt to obtain a waiver of the MOE requirement.</p>			

**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
202	<p data-bbox="212 358 701 467"><b>Federal Fostering Connections to Success Act (FCSA) and State Program Improvement Plan (PIP)</b></p> <p data-bbox="212 505 701 760">The February budget and May Revise together propose new Local Assistance costs of \$12 million (\$5.7 million GF) to implement FCSA and \$26.6 million (\$15.5 million GF) to support the state’s PIP, including among other premises:</p> <ul data-bbox="212 797 701 1203" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="212 797 701 911">• Health Oversight (FCSA): \$3.0 million GF (with 75 percent matching federal funds in DHCS)</li> <li data-bbox="212 948 701 1062">• Participatory Case Planning (PIP): \$9.1 million (\$5.1 million GF)</li> <li data-bbox="212 1099 701 1203">• Relative Search and Engagement (PIP): \$18.0 million (\$10.1 million GF)</li> </ul> <p data-bbox="212 1240 701 1352">The May Revise also proposes \$983,000 (\$680,000 GF) in State Operations for 10 FCSA positions.</p>	17,172,000	4,697,000	<p data-bbox="1205 358 1940 597"><b>FCSA:</b> The federal FCSA was signed into law in 2008. Several provisions are already in effect. Provisions that require changes to state statutes must be implemented by January, 2010. Many of the necessary statutory changes are proposed in bills currently pending before the Legislature.</p> <p data-bbox="1205 634 1940 987"><b>PIP:</b> The federal government conducts Child and Family Service Reviews (CFSR) of states’ CWS programs. After a 2002 CFSR, the federal government assessed \$9 million GF in penalties against the state for its performance. In a more recent review, the state again failed all seven outcome measures and developed a PIP. Under the worst scenario, future penalties if the state does not improve could exceed \$107 million GF.</p>

TBL

**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
513	<p><b>Creation of Subsidized Relative Guardianship</b></p> <p>The May Revise proposes savings of \$1.4 million GF in 2009-10 to opt the state into new federal laws that allow for federal financial participation in kinship-guardianship assistance (Kin-GAP) payments. A corresponding increase of \$1.5 million in federal funds is also proposed.</p>		-1,425,000	<p>California's Kin-GAP program supports long-term placements with relatives who become legal guardians of foster children (who no longer receive foster care services).</p> <p>Kin-GAP is funded primarily with Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) block grant funds or state and county TANF MOE funds. The 2009-2010 budget includes \$176 million for Kin-GAP.</p> <p>Under the TANF Emergency Contingency Fund (ECF) created by ARRA, states can receive 80 percent federal funding for some expenditures. If CalWORKs is not eliminated, DOF estimates that California may be able to claim \$619.0 million in ECF funds in 2009-10.</p> <p>Since Kin-GAP expenditures and caseload are included in the state's TANF and MOE calculations, shifting cases into a new program requires consideration of ARRA criteria and comparison of potential Kin-GAP savings versus ECF loss.</p>

TBL

**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
514	<p><b>Reduction of Specified Foster Care Rates</b></p> <p>The May Revise proposes savings of \$27.4 million GF to reduce by 10 percent Group Home (GH), Foster Family Agency (FFA), Seriously Emotionally Disturbed (SED), Supplemental Clothing Allowance (SCA) and Specialized Care Increment (SCI) rates, as below:</p> <p>GH rate decrease: \$14.5 million            FFA rate decrease: \$8.0 million            SED rate decrease: \$4.1 million            SCA rate decrease: \$255,000            SCI rate decrease: \$510,000</p> <p>The GF savings erode from \$27.4 million to \$26.3 million as a result of enhanced FFP under ARRA.</p> <p>This proposal would also result in a loss of \$15.3 million federal and \$21 million county matching funds.</p>	-27,410,000	<p>GHs are the most restrictive foster care placement. These private, nonprofit facilities care for more than 6 children at a time, with reimbursement of \$1,468 to \$6,694 per child per month.</p> <p>FFAs are nonprofits that recruit, certify and support foster parents for children who would otherwise require GH care. FFA rates are higher than foster family home (FFH) rates, but less than GH rates (\$1,589 to \$1,865 in traditional FFA placements).</p> <p>FFH rates range from \$446 to \$627 per month. Federal, state, and county funds support all of the above foster care rates.</p> <p>SCI is an addition to the basic rate for children who require specialized care because of health and/or behavioral problems. SCA is an annual supplement of \$100 per child in foster family and FFA homes.</p> <p>Children classified as SED may be placed in out-of-home facilities pursuant to an Individualized Education Plan.</p>	

TBL

**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
515	<b>Reduction to Child Welfare Services (CWS)</b>		- 70,649,000	<p>CWS is the state’s main resource for responding to abuse and neglect allegations and providing services to children who are victims (or who are at-risk) and their families. DSS oversees the state’s CWS system, but each of the 58 counties administers its own program.</p> <p>According to the County Welfare Directors Association, this proposal could result in a loss of 660 front-line social workers who investigate abuse allegations or provide services to families, and would exacerbate historic under-funding of CWS.</p> <p>This reduction to CWS could interfere with the state’s ability to protect the health and safety of thousands of the state’s most at-risk children.</p>
	<p>The May Revise proposes savings of \$70.6 million GF to reduce by 8.7 percent funding for all CWS that are not 100 percent federally funded.</p>			
	<p>This includes \$53.8 million in savings for the 56 counties that are not participating in the federal Title IV-E Demonstration Waiver Project, and \$16.9 million for the IV-E Waiver Counties (Alameda and Los Angeles).</p>			
	<p>Depending on how counties implement these cuts, the state could also lose federal funds as a result of this proposal.</p>		TBL	

**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
<p><b>Reform of Adoption Assistance Program (AAP)</b></p>	<p>The LAO proposes reforms of AAP that would result in \$2 million GF savings in 2009-10.</p> <p>The reforms include changes to eligibility definitions and grant levels.</p> <p>The reforms also include tying benefit levels to need, rather than automatically increasing them by age. This particular change would result in about \$900,000 GF savings in 2009-10, growing to \$2 million in 2010-11.</p>	-2,000,000	<p>AAP provides monthly grants to parents who adopt foster children. AAP is one of the fastest growing children’s programs within DSS.</p> <p>State law defines eligible children as those who, without assistance, would likely be “unadoptable” because of age, racial or ethnic background, or handicap; because they are a member of a sibling group that should remain intact; or because they come from an “adverse parental background.” Other states have more limited criteria.</p> <p>AAP grants are limited to the amount of the foster family home rate the state would have paid if the child had remained in foster care and include automatic increases by the child’s age. Under the LAO proposal, increases would instead be tied to demonstrated need and provided through the specialized care increment.</p>	

TBL

**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
<p><b>Transitional Housing Program Plus (THP-Plus)</b></p>	<p>THP-Plus is a supportive housing program for emancipated foster youth between the ages of 18 and 24 who live on their own under supervision. The total proposed budget for THP-Plus is \$40.9 million GF.</p> <p>This is a legislatively included item for Conference consideration.</p>	40,878,000		<p>A \$5 million reduction in Local Assistance could be sustained with minimal impact to THP-Plus programs as they continue to ramp up in implementation.</p>

**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
529	<p><b>Elimination of State Funding for Community Care Licensing (CCL) Program</b></p> <p>The May Revises proposes \$19.5 million GF in savings to eliminate all GF resources for CCL with corresponding fee increases and program reductions.</p> <p>A fee increase of 80 percent would apply to annual and application fees for most facilities (with the exception of Family Child Care Homes (FCCH) at 50 percent). This would be on top of the 16 percent fee increase the Administration proposed in January (of which 10 percent was approved by Budget Subcommittees in both houses).</p>		- 19,500,000	<p>CCL licenses and monitors 75,000 community care facilities and oversees counties' licensing of another 11,000. The facilities include child day care, children's residential (e.g., foster care), adult and elderly residential and day support facilities, and serve 1.4 million clients.</p> <p>Under the proposal, complaint response and most new facility licensure functions would continue. Annual and random facility inspections and automatic follow-up visits after license revocations or notice of child death or injury in FCCHs would no longer occur.</p> <p>FCCHs would self-certify compliance with licensing requirements. Complaint investigation and fingerprint checks for FCCHs would continue.</p> <p>Examples of the fee increase include a change from the current \$2,500 to \$5,245 to be licensed as a foster family agency or \$625 to \$1310 annually for adult day facilities.</p>

TBL



**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
308	<p><b>In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) Share of Cost (SOC) Buyout</b></p> <p>The May Revise proposes to limit the State's payment of the difference between the Medi-Cal SOC and the IHSS program SOC to recipients with a Functional Index (FI) Score of 4.0 and above. All other recipients would be required to pay their full SOC.</p> <p>Results in estimated GF savings of \$41.1 million in 2009-10 with an October 1, 2009 implementation date.</p>		-41,114,000	<p>Under current law, when an IHSS recipient is determined to have a SOC, the State "buys out" or funds the difference between the IHSS SOC and the higher Medi-Cal SOC. The State initiated this buyout to prevent negative hardship to recipients.</p> <p>In the February 2009 Special Session, the SOC caseload was capped, effective July 1, 2009, at 9,277 recipients and the buyout was eliminated for new clients after this date. Under this proposal, 7,648 of the grandfathered clients would lose their SOC buyout as a result of their FI score falling below 4.0.</p> <p>The average monthly buyout is \$427 per month, a new charge that would typically be deducted from the provider's paycheck and that the recipient would be required to pay. Recipients with an FI score of 4.0 are those with the most need who require "substantial human assistance" to perform most functions.</p>

TBL

**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
311	<b>IHSS Limit Domestic and Related (D&amp;R) Services</b>		-53,712,000	[Item 4300, DDS (additional costs), and Item 4260, Department of Health Care Services (federal funds), <i>will conform</i> to action on these items.]
	The May Revise proposed to limit D&R only to recipients with an FI rank of 4.0 and above in the D&R services for a GF savings of \$53.7 million (eroded to \$40.8 million under the enhanced FMAP) in 2009-10 with an October 1, 2009 implementation date.			IHSS provides various services to approximately 431,255 eligible aged, blind, and disabled persons who are unable to remain safely in their homes without such assistance.
309	<b>IHSS Cost Containment</b>		-705,315,000	<p><b>FI scores</b> are set by county social workers who determine the recipient's level of ability and dependence upon verbal or physical assistance by another across a spectrum of daily activities. Scores are the weighted average of rankings, which are determined for each of the daily activities on a scale of 1-5, with 5 being the most acute, where the function cannot be performed with or without human assistance. Recipients can appeal their score or rankings or request a reassessment if their condition changes. <b>The D&amp;R proposal</b> would affect 84,517 recipients who have an FI ranking below 4.0 for these services that include housework, grocery shopping, and meal preparation and cleanup. Impacted recipients would lose an average of 21.7 hours per month of D&amp;R. <b>The Cost Containment proposal</b> assumed first that 231,567 recipients with FI under 3.0 would lose services, and then that an additional 155,692 with FI under 4.0 would lose services. This leaves 36,207 with FI 4.0 and higher, or less than 10 percent of the caseload, with services.</p>
312	Additionally, the May Revise proposed to eliminate all services for those with an FI score under 3.0 for a GF savings of \$417.7 million (\$334.9 million with enhanced FMAP).			
	Subsequently, the May Revise proposed to eliminate all services for those with an FI score under 4.0 for an additional GF savings of \$287.6 million (\$230.8 million with enhanced FMAP). The implementation date is October 1, 2009.			TBL

**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
<b>310</b>	<p><b>Reduction of State Participation in IHSS Wages to Minimum Wage</b></p> <p>The May Revise proposes to reduce the state's participation in IHSS wages to the minimum wage of \$8.00 per hour and benefits up to \$0.60 per hour. The implementation date is October 1, 2009.</p> <p>Results in GF savings of \$161.2 million (\$129.1 million with enhanced FMAP).</p> <p>Action in the "Limit Domestic and Related Services" and in the "IHSS Cost Containment" issues <i>conform to</i> action taken in this issue.</p>		-161,170,000	<p>[Item 4260, Department of Health Care Services (federal funds), <i>will conform</i> to action on this item.]</p> <p>Under current law, the IHSS service providers organize and collectively bargain for wages and benefits on a county-by-county basis. In the February 2009 Special Session, state participation in wages was reduced from \$12.10 (including \$0.60 for benefits) to \$10.10 (also including \$0.60 for benefits) effective July 1, 2009 for providers in the counties that have a Public Authority (PA) or Non-Profit Consortium (NPC). For these counties, the state is required to pay 65 percent of the non-federal costs of IHSS wages up to the level set in law for state participation.</p> <p>Currently, 46 counties have IHSS wages above \$8.00 per hour. There are approximately 361,071 workers providing services to approximately 431,255 program recipients.</p>

TBL

**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
307	<b>IHSS Program Integrity Initiative</b>		-32,968,000	<p>[Relates to Issue 366 in Item 4260, Department of Health Care Services (DHCS).] This proposal is part of a larger Anti-Fraud Initiative that focuses on fraud in the Medi-Cal IHSS Program and includes an additional 30 positions at DHCS to develop a Program Integrity and Fraud Prevention Unit.</p> <p>The following six measures constitute the IHSS Anti-Fraud Initiative: establish a program integrity review team at the state level; targeted mailings and follow-up; DHCS fraud training; DSS and DHCS Directors Discretionary Waivers; provider and recipient new accountability requirements, including fingerprinting and background checks; and timecard accountability. Counties have raised significant concerns with the vastness and ambiguity of the proposal, focusing on the clarity of roles of and between DHCS, DSS, and county administration of IHSS; lack of attention to county workload and administrative costs; and broadening of functions at DHCS that currently reside or are appropriate for DSS and counties. Additionally, 6.0 new anti-fraud positions were included in the DHCS 2009-10 budget.</p>
	<p>The May Revise requests 12 new positions for State Operations at a cost of \$722,000 GF. The positions at DSS are largely two-year limited-term and primarily intended to aid in the fingerprint and criminal background check components of the initiative.</p>			
	<p>The Local Assistance request results in costs of \$6.7 million, reduced from expected savings of \$40.4 million GF (which represents 2 percent of IHSS Basic Costs), for net savings of \$33.7 million (\$25.4 million adjusted for enhanced FMAP.) The implementation date for the initiative is July 1, 2009.</p>			
	<p>Net combined savings of both components is \$33 million.</p>			
	<p>Conforms to action in DHCS (4260-001-0001) on this Initiative.</p>			

TBL

**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
<b>IHSS Public Authority Administration</b>	Public Authorities (PAs) are the employers of IHSS providers for the purposes of collective bargaining over wages, hours, and other terms of employment.	22,619,000	699,000	PAs provide the following functions: assistance to recipients in finding IHSS providers through the establishment of a registry; investigation of the qualifications and background of potential providers; establishment of a referral system under which IHSS providers shall be referred to recipients; provision of training for providers and recipients; and other functions related to the delivery of IHSS.
	56 counties have a PA in place and receive an administrative appropriation that has increased incrementally over time.			The PA administrative rate increased from \$21.8 million GF (\$60.7 million total funds) in 2008-09 to the proposed \$23.3 million GF (\$65 million total funds) in 2009-10, while county administration for IHSS sustained a 5 percent reduction in 2009-10. Some IHSS stakeholders and advocates acknowledge that a reduction could be sustained here with minimal impact to program integrity and efficiency in order to assist with GF savings.
	The February 2009-10 Budget includes \$22.6 million GF for PAs and the May Revise proposes an additional \$699,000, for a new total of \$23.3 million.			
	This is a legislatively included item for Conference consideration.			

**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
306	<p><b>Reduction of Supplemental Security Income/State Supplementary Payment (SSI/SSP) Grants to the Federal Minimum</b></p> <p>The May Revise proposes to reduce the SSP payment standard to the federally required MOE level of the 1983 payment standard effective October 1, 2009.</p> <p>Results in a GF savings of \$264.1 million.</p> <p>Savings include those resulting from grant reductions in the Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants and the California Veterans Cash Benefit, as these grant levels tie to those for SSI/SSP.</p>		-264,108,000	<p>[Item 4300, Department of Developmental Services (additional costs) <i>will conform</i> to action on this item.]</p> <p>MOE refers to a federal provision that limits the reduction a state can make to their SSP benefit levels without penalty. If a state were to reduce its SSP benefit levels below MOE levels, it would lose federal funding for Medi-Cal.</p> <p>In the February 2009 Special Session, the 2009 federal cost-of-living adjustment was rescinded effective May 1, 2009, taking the SSI/SSP grant down to its 2008 base level. The grant was then reduced by 2.3 percent (\$20 for individuals and \$35 for couples) effective July 1, 2009. This proposal further reduces monthly grants to the minimum allowed for an aged/disabled individual from \$850 to \$830 and for a couple from \$1,489 to \$1,407.</p> <p>Non-Medical Out-of-Home Care, Restaurant Meal Allowance, and Title XIX Medical Facilities recipients are excluded from this reduction, as is the traditional practice.</p>

TBL

**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
256, 531	<b>Elimination of CalWORKs Program</b>		-1,687,805,000	[Note <i>conforming items</i> in affected May Revision proposals in DSS and across departments.] The Administration states that TANF is not a federal entitlement, so there is no federal requirement to maintain the CalWORKs program. No other state has eliminated all aid to dependent children.
Con- forming Issues Include 005 255 532 533 534 535 536 537 555	<p>The May Revise proposes to eliminate the CalWORKs program effective October 1, 2009. California would refuse the federal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) block grant of \$3.7 billion beginning in federal fiscal year 2010 and so would not be eligible for most of the benefits of the federal TANF Emergency Contingency Fund (ECF) under the ARRA.</p> <p>The proposal affects over 546,000 cases. Former CalWORKs families would continue to be eligible to receive Food Stamps and Medi-Cal.</p> <p>Results in additional net savings of \$1.688 billion GF.</p>		TBL	<p><b>Caseload.</b> The average monthly caseload for 2008-09 is 507,806, an increase of 9 percent from the previous fiscal year, and the caseload for 2009-10 is projected at 586,746, an increase of 15.5 percent. A typical case represents one parent and two children. The one-parent and child-only families represent 92.6 percent of the caseload. Until the current recession, caseloads had dropped by 50 percent since 1995.</p> <p><b>Impact on Families and Counties.</b> If the CalWORKs program is eliminated, families and one million children will be forced to apply for General Assistance (GA), a county-only program for indigent families. In Los Angeles County, the monthly grant for GA is \$221, considered a high level. In many counties, GA offers lower-value vouchers and no cash assistance. Counties also project increased costs in the CWS and foster care systems with more child endangerment.</p> <p><b>Economic Consequence.</b> \$1 million spent on CalWORKs results in \$1.34 million in economic output and \$25,000 in state sales tax revenue. \$1 billion in TANF funding goes to non-welfare spending annually. This compounds under the ECF, with the state getting \$7.35 million in output for every \$1 million spent.</p>

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
250, 251, 252, 253	<p><b>CalWORKs Reduction Proposals</b></p> <p>The May Revise proposes the following for the CalWORKs program, effective October 1, 2009:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) A six percent grant reduction for GF savings of \$115.2 million;</li> <li>2) Elimination of Safety Net (or child-only) benefits for families that do not meet work participation requirements for GF savings of \$187.4 million;</li> <li>3) Imposition of a 60-month time limit on grants for children whose parent or caretaker is an undocumented non-citizen, drug felon, or fleeing felon for GF savings of \$211.4 million; and</li> <li>4) A requirement of a face-to-face Self-Sufficiency Review (SSR) every six months as a condition of eligibility for families not meeting work requirements for GF savings of \$65.2 million.</li> </ol> <p>True, total savings from the four proposals erode from \$579.2 million to \$117.7 million. The lost savings as a result of federal stimulus is \$461.5 million, or a loss of 80 percent.</p>	-579,190,000	<p><b>ECF and Caseload Implications.</b> The GF savings of these four proposals substantially erode as a result of the TANF ECF, which pays 80 percent of the cost (state pays the remaining 20 percent) of certain TANF expenditures through September 2010. The eligibility limits proposed for the Safety Net, Child Only, and SSR result in 223,000 children losing all cash aid and the CalWORKs caseload dropping by approximately 100,000 cases. A caseload cut of this magnitude would eliminate all of the caseload increase that the state has seen since July 2007, rendering California unable to meet the threshold requirement of increased caseloads for accessing the enhanced ECF match mentioned above.</p> <p><b>Grant Reduction.</b> In the February 2009 Special Session, the grant was cut 4 percent effective July 1, 2009. This reduced the average monthly grant for a family of three in a high-cost county from \$723 to \$694. An additional 6 percent cut takes the grant down to \$652. The monthly grant was \$694 twenty years ago in 1989.</p> <p><b>Impact on Families.</b> Families with children cut off from cash aid will likely rely on General Assistance, which offers a much lower level of assistance and often no cash, and there will be a shift of costs to the child welfare system. Rates of homelessness are expected to increase as a result of these reduction proposals.</p>	
				TBL



**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
300 and 400	<p><b>Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants (CAPI) and California Food Assistance Program (CFAP)</b></p> <p>The May Revise proposes to eliminate the CAPI program, which provides benefits to aged, blind, and disabled legal immigrants, effective October 1, 2009, for a GF savings of \$85.751 million.</p> <p>The Revise also proposes to eliminate the CFAP program, which provides food stamp benefits to legal immigrants who are ineligible for the federal benefit, effective October 1, 2009 for a GF savings of \$33.8 million.</p>	-85,751,000	-33,839,000	<p><b>CAPI.</b> CAPI benefits are equivalent to the SSI/SSP program benefits, less \$10 per individual and \$20 per couple. The average monthly number of total CAPI cases is 11,052 in 2008-09 and 12,839 in 2009-10.</p> <p>Most recipients require CAPI assistance for a limited period of time while they appeal eligibility for federal benefits. Once federal benefits are granted, CAPI assistance is repaid. In the interim, CAPI provides critical assistance to pay for housing and other basic necessities.</p> <p><b>CFAP.</b> CFAP provides food benefits to legal non-citizens over 18 and under 65 years of age. The average monthly number of CFAP recipients is 30,131 in 2009-10. The program was created by Governor Wilson after the federal government eliminated assistance for legal immigrants in their first five years in the U.S.</p>

TBL

**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
927	<p><b>Health and Human Services Realignment</b></p> <p>The May Revise proposes realignment, resulting in GF savings of \$550 million, to fund an increased county share of costs in Child Welfare Services and Foster Care programs.</p> <p>For 2009-10, the county share in Foster Care would increase to 75 percent of the non-federal costs (or an increase of \$152.9 million) and in Child Welfare Services (CWS) would increase to 75 percent (or an increase of \$397.1 million).</p>		-550,000,000	<p>This proposal assumes savings associated with the May Revision reductions to CalWORKs, Children's Services, and the IHSS program provide savings to counties that could then be used to increase their funding share for Foster Care and CWS.</p>
			TBL	

**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
<p><b>Statewide Fingerprint Imaging System (SFIS)</b></p>	<p>The SFIS project is used to fingerprint image applicants for and recipients of CalWORKs, Non-Assistance Food Stamps, and CFAP benefits as a condition of eligibility.</p> <p>The May Revise also includes a request to procure a prime vendor to continue the maintenance and operations (M&amp;O) of the SFIS.</p> <p>(Item 0530-001-9732 will conform to this issue.)</p>	8,040,000	-3,156,000	<p>SFIS has been budgeted at \$8 million GF; however assumptions regarding the system's contract and federal financial participation in 2009-10 led to the inclusion of \$4.9 million GF, with the expectation that \$6.7 million would be received from the federal government. There is no federal requirement for the SFIS. California is one of two states with such a system.</p> <p>Current law requires the following persons to provide fingerprint images and a photo image: (1) each parent and/or caretaker relative of an aided or applicant child when living in the home of the child; (2) each parent and/or caretaker relative receiving or applying for aid on the basis of an unaided excluded child; (3) each aided or applicant adult; and (4) the aided or applicant pregnant woman in an assistance unit (AU) consisting of the woman only. Failure to provide the required images will result in ineligibility for the entire AU.</p>

**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
101	<p><b>CalWORKs and Kin-GAP Caseload</b></p> <p>The May Revision proposes changes due to the impact of caseload changes since the early 2009 Budget Act for CalWORKs and Kin-GAP for an increase in GF of \$501.1 million, largely due to the expected increase in the CalWORKs caseload in 2009-10.</p> <p>The average monthly caseload for 2008-09 is 507,806 families, an increase of 9 percent from the previous fiscal year, and the caseload for 2009-10 is projected at 586,746 families, an increase of 15.5 percent.</p>		501,087,000	<p>All caseload issues, including those on the Vote-Only Calendar, <i>will conform</i> to other actions taken in other Issues.</p>

**5180 Department of Social Services**

Issue	Description	2009 Budget Act	May Revise	Comments
215	<b>Adjustments for Federal</b>			These issues <i>will conform</i> appropriately to actions taken in other Issues.
216	<b>Stimulus</b>			
217	The May Revision includes the following adjustments related to Federal Stimulus:			
254	<p><b>Title IV-E</b> - A decrease of \$43,469,000 GF and an increase of \$71,139,000 Federal Trust Fund to reflect the impact to the Foster Care and Adoption Assistance programs for the enhanced FMAP. The proposed rate cut erodes the GF savings due to AARA by \$654,000 GF and \$1,634,000 Federal Trust Fund. Also, a decrease of \$8,292,000 General Fund and an increase of \$20,731,000 Federal Trust Fund is requested for these same purposes</p> <p><b>TANF ECF</b> - A decrease of \$117,797,000 GF and an increase of \$117,797,000 Federal Trust Fund to reflect the impact to the CalWORKs program for the ECF. The CalWORKs reductions erode the GF savings due to AARA by \$501,168,000.</p> <p><b>IHSS FMAP</b> - A decrease of \$218,152,000 GF and an increase of \$422,724,000 Reimbursements for the enhanced FMAP. The proposed reductions to the IHSS program erode GF savings due to AARA by \$210,027,000.</p>			

**BUDGET CONFERENCE COMMITTEE  
HUMAN SERVICES VOTE ONLY ITEMS**

	ORG / ITEM	ISSUE	ISSUE	MAY REVISE	PROPOSED ACTION
				GF	
	<b>0530</b>		<b>Health and Human Services Agency</b>		
1)	<b>0530-001-9732</b>	001	<p><b>Child Welfare Services/Case Management System Relocation</b> - The May Revise requests that Item 0530-001-9732 be increased by \$1,305,000 to support information technology vendor services required to perform system testing and configuration activities for the Child Welfare Services/Case Management System (CWS/CMS). In May 2010, the Department of Technology Services will relocate part of its Sacramento-based operations to Vacaville. The CWS/CMS will be included within the relocation. The requested augmentation will help to ensure the system is fully functional upon relocation.</p>		Approve May Revision proposal.
2)	<b>0530-001-3151</b>	400 BBL	<p><b>Health Privacy Enforcement Technical Budget Item</b> - It is requested that Item 0530-001-3151 be added to the Budget Act of 2009 with provisional language allowing the Director of Finance to augment the item commensurate with the collection of administrative fines pursuant to Section 56.36 of the Civil Code. This technical issue will enable health privacy enforcement costs to be funded consistent with Chapter 602, Statutes of 2008 (Assembly Bill 211).</p>		Approve May Revision proposal.
	<b>4140</b>		<b>Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development</b>		
3)	<b>4140-001-3085</b>	BBL	<p><b>Mental Health Loan Assumption Program (MHLAP)</b> - The May Revise requests that Item 4140-001-3085 be increased by \$141,000 for the MHLAP and that Item 4140-490 be added to reappropriate unexpended funds in the amount of \$141,000 from fiscal year 2008-09 to 2009-10. The MHLAP provides loan repayment to mental health professionals who agree to provide a two-year, full-time service obligation in an underserved area or qualified facility. Fourteen counties were unable to fully expend their 2008-09 allocations for this program. The total amount of unexpended funds in 2008-09 is \$141,000. This request will allow these counties to use these funds in 2009-10.</p>		Approve May Revision proposal.

**BUDGET CONFERENCE COMMITTEE  
HUMAN SERVICES VOTE ONLY ITEMS**

	ORG / ITEM	ISSUE	ISSUE	MAY REVISE	PROPOSED ACTION
				<b>GF</b>	
	<b>4170</b>		<b>Department of Aging</b>		
4)	<b>4170-101-0890</b>	002	<b>Federal Stimulus</b> - The May Revise proposes an increase in federal funds available through American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) for the Nutrition and Senior Community Employment Programs, with the following BBL to allow for carry-forward of those funds: "(X) Unspent federal stimulus funds authorized in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 for Nutrition and Senior Community Services Employment Programs, budgeted in 2008-09 and 2009-10 are available for encumbrance or expenditure through September 30, 2010."	8,016,000	Approve May Revision proposal.
5)	<b>4170-101-0001</b>	003	<b>Federal Stimulus</b> - The May Revise proposes a reduction in General Fund (GF) for the Multipurpose Senior Services Program, offset by an increase in federal funds reimbursement under ARRA.	-5,269,000	Approve May Revision proposal.
	<b>4200</b>		<b>Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs</b>		
6)	<b>4200-102-0001</b> <b>4200-102-3146</b>	100 (a)	<b>Caseload Adjustment</b> - The May Revise proposes a reduction of \$691,000 GF in local assistance, with a corresponding reduction of \$692,000 in federal fund reimbursements due to caseload decreases in the Perinatal Drug Medi-Cal (DMC) program.	-691,000	Approve May Revision proposal.
7)	<b>4200-103-0001</b> <b>4200-103-3146</b>	100 (b)	<b>Caseload Adjustment</b> - The May Revise proposes an increase of GF and federal fund reimbursements due to Regular DMC caseload and utilization changes.	2,175,000	Approve May Revision proposal.
8)	<b>4200-102-0001</b> <b>4200-102-3146</b>	101 (a)	<b>Federal Stimulus</b> - The May Revise proposes a reduction in GF for Perinatal DMC, offset by an increase in federal funds reimbursement under enhanced Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP) of ARRA.	-736,000	Approve May Revision proposal.
9)	<b>4200-103-0001</b> <b>4200-103-3146</b>	101 (b)	<b>Federal Stimulus</b> - The May Revise proposes a reduction in GF for Regular DMC, offset by an increase in federal funds reimbursement under enhanced FMAP of ARRA.	-23,428,000	Approve May Revision proposal.

**BUDGET CONFERENCE COMMITTEE  
HUMAN SERVICES VOTE ONLY ITEMS**

	ORG / ITEM	ISSUE	ISSUE	MAY REVISE	PROPOSED ACTION
				GF	
	<b>4700</b>		<b>Department of Community Services and Development</b>		
<b>10)</b>	<b>4700-001-0890</b> <b>4700-101-0890</b>	001	<b>Federal Stimulus - Weatherization Assistance Program and Community Services Block Grant</b> - The May Revise requests that Item 4700-001-0890 be increased by \$14,851,000 and that Item 4700-101-0890 be increased by \$148,625,000. The increased federal funding for the Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP) and the Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) was made available by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA). Additional WAP funding of \$74,325,000 will expand the department's ability to provide weatherization services to the state's low-income population. Increased CSBG funding of \$89,151,000 will be added to the existing block grant to assist low-income people in attaining the skills, knowledge, and motivation necessary to achieve self-sufficiency.		Approve the May Revision request, with the modification proposed by the Joint Legislative Budget Committee to expend \$8,581,000 million more in ARRA funding for the WAP in fiscal year 2009-10, rather than in 2008-09. The recommendation was made in response to a Section 28.00 application dated May 1, 2009, which requested a total augmentation of \$18,581,000 in federal expenditure authority for 2008-09.
<b>11)</b>	<b>4700-001-0890</b> <b>4700-101-0890</b>	002	<b>Increase Weatherization Assistance Program</b> - The May Revise requests that Item 4700-001-0890 be increased by \$1,213,000 and that Item 4700-101-0890 be increased by \$6,654,000 due to a one-time increase in the department's baseline WAP grant. This augmentation is not related to the ARRA.		Approve May Revision proposal.
<b>12)</b>	<b>4700</b>	N/A	<b>Trailer Bill Language on CSBG Federal Stimulus Funds</b> - The Assembly adopted placeholder trailer bill language regarding the use of additional CSBG funds and the expansion of eligibility from 100 percent of the federal poverty level to 200 percent. The Senate did not take action on this issue.		Approve the trailer bill language to raise the eligibility level for accessing the CSBG funds.



**BUDGET CONFERENCE COMMITTEE  
HUMAN SERVICES VOTE ONLY ITEMS**

	ORG / ITEM	ISSUE	ISSUE	MAY REVISE	PROPOSED ACTION
				GF	
	<b>5160</b>		<b>Department of Rehabilitation</b>		
<b>13)</b>	<b>5160-001-0001</b> <b>5160-001-0890</b>	001	<b>Federal Stimulus: Vocational Rehabilitation Services</b> - The May Revise requests that Item 5160-001-0890 be increased by \$43.6 million to expand and improve the state's Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) programs to assist Californians with disabilities become employed and live independently and that Item 5160-001-0001 be amended to reflect this change. The increased federal funding for VR services was made available from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA).		Approve May Revision proposal.
<b>14)</b>	<b>5160-001-0890</b> <b>5160-101-0890</b>	002	<b>Federal Stimulus - Independent Living and Older Individuals Who Are Blind Programs</b> - The May Revise requests that Item 5160-001-0890 be increased by \$1,868,000 and that Item 5160-101-0890 be increased by \$3,292,000 due to the availability of federal funding from the ARRA. These ARRA funds will be used to support and provide expanded services in the Independent Living and Older Individuals Who Are Blind programs, which serve individuals with significant disabilities and older individuals who are blind. Because these ARRA funds require a 10 percent match, the Department of Rehabilitation (DOR) will redirect \$226,000 existing General Fund from the VR program. Overall federal VR funding will not be affected.		Approve May Revision proposal.

**BUDGET CONFERENCE COMMITTEE  
HUMAN SERVICES VOTE ONLY ITEMS**

	ORG / ITEM	ISSUE	ISSUE	MAY REVISE	PROPOSED ACTION
				GF	
	<b>5175</b>		<b>Department of Child Support Services</b>		
15)	<b>5175-101-0001 5175-101-0890</b>	400	<b>ARRA Leveraging Federal Performance Incentives</b> - The May Revise requests that Schedule (1)(a) of Item 5175-101-0001 be decreased by \$27,670,000 and Item 5175-101-0890 be increased by \$27,670,000. The ARRA allows states to leverage federal performance incentive funds for state match purposes. This change allows California to backfill General Fund resources with corresponding increases in federal funds.	-27,670,000	Approve May Revision proposal.
16)	<b>5175-101-0001 5175-101-0890</b>	401	<b>Caseload Population Estimate</b> - The May Revise requests that Schedule (1)(a) of Item 5175-101-0001 be increased by \$563,000 and Item 5175-101-0890 be increased by \$615,000. This adjustment includes the following Enrollment Caseload Population changes: (1) a \$480,000 (\$163,000 General Fund) increase associated with an increase in Internal Revenue Service intercept volume, (2) a \$265,000 General Fund increase associated with an increase in the number of never-assisted families for whom the state covers the federally mandated \$25 fee, and (3) a \$433,000 (\$135,000 General Fund) increase associated with an increase in the number of transactions processed by the State Disbursement Unit.	563,000	Approve May Revision proposal.
17)	<b>5175-101-0001 5175-101-0890</b>	404	<b>California Child Support Automation System (CCSAS), Local Assistance</b> - The May Revise requests that Schedule (1)(b) of Item 5175-101-0001 be decreased by \$10,094,000 and Item 5175-101-0890 be decreased by \$19,595,000 to align the California Child Support Automation System (CCSAS) budget with the most recently submitted Advanced Planning Document Update currently under review by the Office of the Chief Information Officer. This reduction is necessary to reflect an update in the planned information technology activities relating to system change requests, procurements, and migrations.	-10,094,000	Approve May Revision proposal. This action will conform to the action recommended in this agenda to decrease CCSAS GF by \$500,000.

**BUDGET CONFERENCE COMMITTEE  
HUMAN SERVICES VOTE ONLY ITEMS**

	ORG / ITEM	ISSUE	ISSUE	MAY REVISE	PROPOSED ACTION
				<b>GF</b>	
<b>18)</b>	<b>5175-001-0001</b> <b>5175-001-0890</b>	404	<b>CCSAS, Support</b> - The May Revise requests that Schedule (1) of Item 5175-001-0001 be decreased by \$3,238,000 and Item 5175-001-0890 be decreased by \$6,285,000 to align the CCSAS budget with the most recently submitted Advanced Planning Document Update currently under review by the Office of the Chief Information Officer. This reduction is necessary to reflect reduced contract staffing needs in fiscal year 2009-10.	-3,238,000	Approve May Revision proposal. This action will conform to the action recommended in this agenda to decrease CCSAS GF by \$500,000.
<b>19)</b>	<b>5175-101-0001</b> <b>5175-101-0890</b>		<b>Child Support Automation</b> - The LAO proposes a reduction of \$500,000 GF to the California Child Support Automation System (CCSAS) project budget. This would also result in the loss of \$970,000 in federal funds.	-500,000	Approve proposed reduction, along with the following BBL: "Provision X. The General Fund appropriation for this item is reduced by \$500,000 for the California Child Support Automation System. The Director of Finance, in consultation with the Department of Child Support Services, shall apportion this reduction among contracts, State Operations, Local Assistance, and personnel, with corresponding adjustments to federal funds authority."

**BUDGET CONFERENCE COMMITTEE  
HUMAN SERVICES VOTE ONLY ITEMS**

	ORG / ITEM	ISSUE	ISSUE	MAY REVISE	PROPOSED ACTION
				GF	
	<b>5180</b>		<b>Department of Social Services</b>		
20)	5180-101-0001 5180-101-0890 5180-101-8004 5180-141-0001 5180-141-0890		<b>Foster Care Caseload Adjustments</b> - The May Revise proposes adjustments in this area based on caseload changes since the February 2009 Budget Act. General Fund is displayed; there are additional, corresponding federal and special fund adjustments as included in the June 2, 2009 Finance Letter.	33,755,000	Approve May Revision proposal.
21)	5180-101-0001 5180-101-0890		<b>Adoption Assistance Program Caseload Adjustments</b> - The May Revise proposes adjustments in this area based on caseload changes since the February 2009 Budget Act. General Fund is displayed; there are additional, corresponding federal fund adjustments as included in the June 2, 2009 Finance Letter.	-7,632,000	Approve May Revision proposal.
22)	5180-111-0001		<b>Supplemental Security Income/State Supplementary Payment (SSI/SSP) Caseload Adjustments</b> - The May Revise proposes adjustments in this area based on caseload changes since the February 2009 Budget Act. General Fund is displayed.	90,737,000	Approve May Revision proposal.
23)	5180-111-0001 5180-611-0995		<b>In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) Caseload Adjustments</b> - The May Revise proposes adjustments in this area based on caseload changes since the February 2009 Budget Act. General Fund is displayed; there are additional, corresponding federal and special fund adjustments as included in the June 2, 2009 Finance Letter.	40,364,000	Approve May Revision proposal.
24)	5180-151-0001 5180-151-0890 5180-651-0995		<b>Child Welfare Services (CWS) Caseload Adjustments</b> - The May Revise proposes adjustments in this area based on caseload changes since the February 2009 Budget Act. General Fund is displayed; there are additional, corresponding federal and special fund adjustments as included in the June 2 Finance Letter.	28,507,000	Approve May Revision proposal.

**BUDGET CONFERENCE COMMITTEE  
HUMAN SERVICES VOTE ONLY ITEMS**

	ORG / ITEM	ISSUE	ISSUE	MAY REVISE	PROPOSED ACTION
				<b>GF</b>	
25)	5180-101-0001 5180-101-0122 5180-101-0890 5180-601-0995		<b>Other Assistance Payments Caseload Adjustments</b> - The May Revise proposes adjustments in this area based on caseload changes since the February 2009 Budget Act. General Fund is displayed; there are additional, corresponding federal and special fund adjustments as included in the June 2, 2009 Finance Letter.	7,992,000	Approve May Revision proposal.
26)	5180-141-0001 5180-141-0890 5180-641-0995		<b>County Administration and Automation Projects Caseload Adjustments</b> - The May Revise proposes adjustments in this area based on caseload changes since the February 2009 Budget Act. General Fund is displayed; there are additional, corresponding federal and special fund adjustments as included in the June 2, 2009 Finance Letter.	33,014,000	Approve May Revision proposal.
27)	5180-153-0001		<b>Title IV-E Waiver (Alameda and LA Counties) Caseload Adjustments</b> - The May Revise proposes adjustments in this area based on caseload changes since the February 2009 Budget Act. General Fund is displayed; there are additional, corresponding federal and special fund adjustments as included in the June 2, 2009 Finance Letter.	1,197,000	Approve May Revision proposal.
28)	5180-151-0001 5180-151-0890 5180-651-0995		<b>Remaining DSS Programs Caseload Adjustments</b> - The May Revise proposes adjustments in this area based on caseload changes since the February 2009 Budget Act. General Fund is displayed; there are additional, corresponding federal and special fund adjustments as included in the June 2, 2009 Finance Letter.	41,000	Approve May Revision proposal.
29)	5180-001-0001 5180-151-0001	013 014	<b>Community Care Licensing</b> - The May Revise proposes an increase of \$97,000 (\$76,000 GF and \$21,000 Federal Trust Fund), with a corresponding decrease in Local Assistance of \$125,000 (\$98,000 GF; \$27,000 Federal Trust Fund), for return of licensing responsibility for 56 foster family homes and 107 family child care homes in Mendocino County to the state.	76,000	Approve proposed reduction of \$125,000 for local assistance <u>and</u> <u>reject</u> proposed increase of \$97,000 for state operations. The Department will thus absorb this workload, which amounts to less than 1 full position under its caseload standards.

**BUDGET CONFERENCE COMMITTEE  
HUMAN SERVICES VOTE ONLY ITEMS**

	ORG / ITEM	ISSUE	ISSUE	MAY REVISE	PROPOSED ACTION
				<b>GF</b>	
30)	<b>5180-101-0001 5180-101-0890, and Reimbursements</b>	518	<b>Replace Proposition 10 Funds in Social Services Programs</b> The May Revise requests an increase of \$164.0 million General Fund and a corresponding decrease in Item 5180-101-3148 to reflect the decision of the voters in rejecting Proposition 1D. The proposition would have allowed the use of Health and Human Services Fund (Proposition 10) monies to fund a portion of social services programs.	164,000,000	Approve May Revision proposal.
31)	<b>5180-111-0001 5180-111-0890, and Reimbursements</b>	518	<b>Replace Proposition 10 Funds in Social Services Programs</b> The May Revise requests an increase of \$67.0 million General Fund and a corresponding decrease in Item 5180-111-3148 to reflect the decision of the voters in rejecting Proposition 1D. The proposition would have allowed the use of Health and Human Services Fund (Proposition 10) monies to fund a portion of social services programs.	67,000,000	Approve May Revision proposal.
32)	<b>5180-151-0001 5180-151-0890, and Reimbursements</b>	518	<b>Replace Proposition 10 Funds in Social Services Programs</b> The May Revise requests an increase of \$112.0 million General Fund and a corresponding decrease in Item 5180-151-3148 is requested to reflect the decision of the voters in rejecting Proposition 1D. The proposition would have allowed the use of Health and Human Services Fund (Proposition 10) monies to fund a portion of social services programs.	112,000,000	Approve May Revision proposal.

**BUDGET CONFERENCE COMMITTEE  
HUMAN SERVICES VOTE ONLY ITEMS**

	ORG / ITEM	ISSUE	ISSUE	MAY REVISE	PROPOSED ACTION
				<b>GF</b>	
33)	5180	Language	<b>Clarifying language on IHSS SOC Buy-Out</b> - Counties have requested placeholder trailer bill language to continue counties' ability to reinstate the buy-out for eligible IHSS recipients who erroneously lose their buy-out through no fault of their own using a process known as the X-27 SPEC transaction, versus a more complicated reimbursement claim process. The issue has no cost associated with it and is a technical clean-up issue related to action taken in the February 2009 Budget.		Approve placeholder trailer bill language to allow counties to utilize a local X-27 SPEC transaction to reimburse excess share of cost paid by recipients who, on or after July 1, 2009, lose their share of cost buy-out erroneously and are eligible for re-instatement. The department shall obtain the information necessary from the county to reinstate these individuals as expeditiously as possible.
34)	5180	Language	<b>1915(j) State Plan Option/Risk Management Process</b> - The May Revise includes mention of the development of a "risk management process," with 20 minutes of social worker time and including the completion of a form, for all current Personal Care Services Program and Residual program recipients, as well as new 1915(j) recipients. The details of this new process and its implementation are unclear.		Approve placeholder trailer bill language requiring DSS to pilot the form, assess implementation costs, and to budget these costs appropriately to the counties prior to expanding the pilot.
35)	5180-001-0001	100	<b>CBARS</b> - Both houses rejected funding for the CalWORKs Business Analytics and Reporting System (CBARS). The Assembly redirected the associated funding (\$1.82 million) to the Work Incentive Nutritional Supplement Program for readiness activities. The Senate deleted the funding from the 2009-10 budget and made the requested funds instead available for TANF costs that would otherwise be funded with General Fund.	1,820,000	Approve the Senate version and score the additional \$1.82 million TANF/GF.

**BUDGET CONFERENCE COMMITTEE  
HUMAN SERVICES VOTE ONLY ITEMS**

	ORG / ITEM	ISSUE	ISSUE	MAY REVISE	PROPOSED ACTION
				<b>GF</b>	
36)	5180-151-0001		<b>Child Welfare Services/Case Management System (CWS/CMS)</b> - The LAO proposes a reduction of \$4 million General Fund (which is equal to 10 percent) to the CWS/CMS maintenance and operations budget. This would result in the loss of a similar amount in federal funds. CWS/CMS is a comprehensive database, case management tool, and reporting system for the CWS system.	-4,000,000	Approve reduction as proposed by LAO, with conforming changes to OSI spending authority in Item 0530 (OSI).
37)	5180-141-0001		<b>Statewide Automated Welfare System (SAWS)</b> - The LAO proposes a reduction of \$6 million General Fund (combined GF and Temporary Assistance for Needy Families block grant) to the maintenance and operations budget of SAWS. Statewide project management for SAWS is conducted by OSI. The systems are implemented by four local consortia.	-6,000,000	Approve reduction of \$4.5 million instead and adopt placeholder TBL to allow some flexibility for counties to implement reduction across the consortia, with conforming changes to spending authority in Item 0530 (OSI).
38)	5180-141-0001 5180-141-0890	216	<b>Federal Stimulus - Food Stamp Administration</b> - The May Revise requests an increase of \$22,095,000 Federal Trust Fund due to receipt of ARRA funds. This funding augments administrative funding associated with increased federal food assistance program costs for low-income households.		